# CITY COUNCIL AGENDA CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION RABBI GARY GOLBART, ADAT ARI EL SYNAGOGUE
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

#### MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE - Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations: Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:07- 9:08)

1-1

RABBI GARY GOLBART, Adat Ari El Synagogue, gave the invocation.

(9:08 - 9:10)

1-24

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:10)

# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK	DISCUSSION DISCUSSION
DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) F	RONEMUS CONSENT DISCUSSION
SUBJECT: CEREMONIAL:	
Councilman, Ward 4; Councilman, Ward 5	TO ELECTED OFFICIALS – Councilman, Ward 2; 5; Councilman, Ward 6; Municipal Judge, Department 2; Judge, Department 5; Municipal Judge, Department 6
<u>Fiscal Impact</u>	
No Impact	Amount:
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:	
RECOMMENDATION:	
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:	
MOTION: None required.	

## **MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN administered the Oath of Office to JUDGES BETSY KOLKOSKI, MICHELLE LEAVITT-FITZPATRICK, CEDRIC KERNS, and JESSIE WALSH and COUNCIL MEMBERS LARRY BROWN, LYNETTE BOGGS McDONALD, LAWRENCE WEEKLY, and MICHAEL MACK, who were elected in the April 3, 2001, Primary Election. They were each presented with their Certificate of Election.

The Judges and Council members individually thanked their family members and close friends, who came forward, as well as their staff and individuals that worked for them during the election, for their support through the arduous campaigning process. They also vowed to do the job they were elected to do and continue to serve the public.

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR:	: PUBLIC AFFAIRS DAVID RIGGLEMAN	CONSENT	DISCUSSION
SUBJECT: CEREMONIAL: RECOGNITION		CONCENT	Dioodoloit
	act Funds Available ntation Required	Amount: Dept./Division: Funding Source:	
PURPOSE/BAC	CKGROUND:		
RECOMMENDA	ATION:		
BACKUP DOCU	UMENTATION:		
MOTION: None required.			

#### MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN presented the proclamation declaring April 18, 2001, as Law Day to JUDGE TOY GREGORY, who stated that nationally Law Day activities are sponsored to help citizens develop a deeper understanding of the legal system. This year Law Day planners all over the country would be paying special attention to children and their best interest. The Judges and staff of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Court have joined in these efforts.

For well over 100 years, American courts and legislatures have expressed and reiterated the special attention given to children by the legal system with the words "best interest of the child." These words appear in many thousands of court decisions covering a broad range of children's issues. This year Law Day 2001 allows the opportunity to highlight how American law related to children can protect the interest of all American children and promote the well being of their families. It is a special opportunity for lawyers, judges, legislators, social workers, etc., to collaborate on community-based activities that help fellow citizens better understand how the legal system strives to protect the interests of all children. Law Day also provides for the opportunity to celebrate and make more widely known the extraordinary efforts of lawyers, judges, and bar associations working on behalf of children and youth. Law Day is used to show how local government can play a role in efforts to better assure that no child is left behind.

(10:10-10:14)

2-1573

**RECESS: 10:14 A.M. – 10:27 A.M.** 

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2000

DEPARTMENT	: PUBLIC AFFAIRS		
DIRECTOR:	DAVID RIGGLEMAN	CONSEN	T DISCUSSION
SUBJECT: CEREMONIAL: EMPLOYEE OF	THE MONTH		
EMIFLOTEE OF	THE MONTH		
Fiscal Impact			
No Imp	act	Amount:	
Budget	Funds Available	Dept./Division:	
Augme	ntation Required	Funding Source:	
PURPOSE/BA	CKGROUND:		
RECOMMENDA	ATION:		
BACKUP DOC	UMENTATION:		
MOTION: None required.			

### **MINUTES:**

The Council members and CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director, Human Resources Department, honored CANDACE MBAYE, Benefits Specialist, Human Resources Department, for being selected April's Employee of the Month for her exceptional service in resolving insurance problems for City employees. She is responsible for providing information and assistance to City employees, retirees, providers, and third parties regarding various insurance programs. With 2,500 employees, this is not an easy task. She is a past recipient of "Extra Mile" service awards and was awarded the 2000 Ambassador of Courtesy Award from the Las Vegas Chamber of Commerce and the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority.

MS. MBAYE gave thanks to God and congratulated the newly elected Council members. She indicated that her division and Human Resources receive a lot of calls, and staff tries to do the best they can to provide assistance. She really enjoys her job and her co-workers, and talking with all the City employees.

MS. ENUS noted that MS. MBAYE exemplifies the level of service that Human Resources wants to be known for. This is an honor that is well deserved.

(10:27 - 10:31)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Ceremonial Matters - Continued Recognition of Pool Safety Awareness Month

#### **MOTION:**

None required.

### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN announced the recognition of Pool Safety Awareness Month and stated that the summer months are drawing closer and family safety is a priority. The City of Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Department and the Las Vegas chapter of the American Red Cross are sponsoring the Pool Safety Awareness Campaign because they want the community to enjoy a safer summer. Last year, nearly 85% of reported drownings occurred in pools. Tragically, most of these accidents involved small children. During the entire month of May, this important topic will be highlighted through public service announcements and a pool safety event on May 19 at Garside Middle School.

CATHY TISDALE, CEO of the Southern Nevada American Red Cross, and FIRE CHIEF MARIO TREVINO and DEPUTY CHIEF JEFF MORGAN, who both chair the Pool Safety Committee, came forward.

MS. TISDALE stated that this country loves heroes and the country was founded on the premise that when necessary, an ordinary person could commit an extraordinary heroic deed. And for the past nine years, the American Red Cross has honored such individuals with a certificate of merit. In order to receive such a certificate, that hero must have sustained or saved a life because of training received from the American Red Cross.

Last July the Robertson Family -- LESLIE and KEITH and son TRAVIS -- from Northern California was vacationing in Las Vegas. One day, while at the pool, they lost sight of their son TRAVIS in the hotel swimming pool. By the time MR. ROBERTSON pulled TRAVIS out, he was unconscious and turning blue. MRS. ROBERTSON started CPR, but a hotel employee, who was a lifeguard but was not on lifeguard duty, continued to perform CPR until the paramedics could arrive. Because of that, TRAVIS is alive today. At the request of MS. TISDALE, MAYOR GOODMAN presented JORGE NAVOA with a medal of honor, symbolizing heroism from the American Red Cross. MAYOR GOODMAN said that he could not think of anything more important that a human being could do than to save a life.

MR. NAVOA expressed his appreciation for the honor, saying that it was a bigger reward to see TRAVIS doing well. He stated that it is very important to know CPR and to be able to help people. MR. and MRS. ROBERTSON presented MR. NAVOA with a plaque as a token of their appreciation. MRS. ROBERTSON commented that MR. NAVOA is so important to their family that TRAVIS' grandparents and uncles came to the meeting to express their gratitude.

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS			
DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN	CONSENT DISCUSSION		
SUBJECT: CEREMONIAL: PROCLAMATION PRESENTATION TO ANGELA RIVERA			
Fiscal Impact			
No Impact	Amount:		
· ·			
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:		
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:		
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
RECOMMENDATION:			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:			
MOTION: None required.			

#### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN called ANGELA RIVERA, a Las Vegas resident, her instructor MASTER TODDY, and KWANG ROBKOB, Advisor to the Prime Minister of Thailand, to the podium to present MS. RIVERA with a proclamation declaring April 18, 2001, as ANGELA RIVERA Day in honor of her recent triumph. She is the first American in history to win the kick boxing gold medal in the featherweight division at the World Muay Thai Championship in Bangkok.

MS. RIVERA thanked the City Council for honoring her. She also thanked her masters for their support. She said that during every round she thought of her country, her family, and the president. She especially thanked MR. ROBKOB for having MASTER TODDY at the event during the last round. MR. ROBKOB stated that about 600 people participated in the event, which took place during the course of ten days. The American team did very well, especially MS. RIVERA, who is not just an outstanding kick boxer, but also a wonderful young lady with a great disposition. The people spoke highly of her everywhere she went to visit. He pointed out that the people of Thailand honor people for their good heart.

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIR DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN	S CONSENT	DISCUSSION
SUBJECT: CEREMONIAL:		
PROCLAMATION PRESENTATION T	O MOBIL CORPORATION	
Fiscal Impact  No Impact  Budget Funds Available  Augmentation Required	Amount: Dept./Division: Funding Source:	
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:		
RECOMMENDATION:		
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:		
MOTION: None required.		

### MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE called forward SHARON HABERFELD, owner of AJ's Mini-Mart on Eastern Avenue, and JACKIE ALLEN, Assistant Principal of Variety School for Special Education. COUNCILMAN REESE stated that Exxon has monies available to donate to schools like Variety School, and MS. HABERFELD received a grant that is going to assist in buying books for the students at Variety School.

MS. HABERFELD thanked the Council. She explained that the Education Alliance of the Exxon-Mobil Corporation makes available through local dealers 3,000 grants to schools every year. AJ's Mini-Marts became eligible to apply due to the good grades they received in cleanliness and customer service. She is delighted to be able to award \$1,000 to MS. ALLEN for the Variety School for Special Education to apply toward the purchase of very-much needed books.

She urged all Exxon-Mobil dealers to apply for the grants to maximize the benefits to the community schools. Everybody needs to help, because government cannot do it alone.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Ceremonial Matters Proclamation Presentation to Mobil Corporation

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

MS. ALLEN thanked Exxon-Mobil Corporation, specifically AJ's Mini-Marts, for recognizing the special needs of the Variety School students. Variety School serves students from ages six to twenty-two years of age with a variety of significant needs. The students that attend are from all over the Valley. Staff is very dedicated and they put their heart and soul into helping the students grow as much as they can. These students need a number of supplementary aids and services to help them in their educational programs. Literacy is a main focus for Variety School. Because of the wide variety of students with different skill abilities, there is a need for many materials.

On behalf of the students at Variety School, the Student Support Services Division, and the Clark County School District, she gratefully accepted the grant.

(10:42 - 10:46)

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

OIT I GOOITOIL II	MEETING OI. AI RIE 10, 2001	
DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS		
DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMA	N CONSENT DISCUSSION	
SUBJECT: CEREMONIAL:		
PROCLAMATION FOR WATER CONS	SERVATION WEEK	
Fiscal Impact		
No Impact	Amount:	
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:	
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:	
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:		
RECOMMENDATION:		
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:		
MOTION: None required.		

### **MINUTES:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked SEAN ROBERTSON, Las Vegas Valley Water District, to come forward to accept a proclamation in recognition of Water Conservation Week. On behalf of the Southern Nevada Water Authority, MR. ROBERTSON thanked the Mayor and Council for their assistance in raising public awareness of the need to conserve water in the Valley. The time of year is approaching when the demand for water is the greatest, particularly in the area of outdoor landscaping. The goal is 25% conservation by the year 2010, and this week will kick off a year of outreach for educational programs to get the community to achieve that goal.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD interjected that education is a big priority when it comes to water conservation, especially for older areas. In order for water conservation to be a success, the community must partake.

(10:46 - 10:49)



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18 2001

CITT COONCIL MILLTING OF . AT KIL 10, 2001		
DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK		
DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS CONSENT DISCUSSIO N		
SUBJECT: BUSINESS ITEMS:		
Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time		
MOTION:  REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 92, 105, and 106 to 5/2/2001 – UNANIMOUS		
MINUTES: COUNCILMAN REESE requested that Item No. 94 be brought forward for discussion. See that Item for the action that was taken.		
There was no further discussion. $(9:10-9:25)$		

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

311 1 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK
DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS CONSENT DISCUSSIO N
SUBJECT:
BUSINESS ITEMS:
Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of March 21, 2001
MOTION:
REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS
MINUTES:
There was no discussion.
(10:49)



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPA	DEPARTMENT: DETENTION AND ENFORCEMENT			
DIREC	TOR: MICHAEL SHELDO	N X CONSENT DISCUSSION		
011D 11				
<b>SUBJI</b>	<u>ECT:</u>			
Approva	al of a grant award for funds from	the U.S. Department of Justice, under the FY01 SCAAP		
	(State Criminal Alien Assistance Program), to assist local agencies incurring the costs of incarcerating			
undocur	indocumented criminal aliens (\$117,374 award amount - no matching funds)			
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>			
	No Impact	Amount: \$117,374 - projected award		
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division:		
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:		

## **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Once a year, the Department of Justice makes funds available to partially reimburse agencies for the cost of incarcerating aliens booked on local charges. The total claims for all applicants were totaled and divided into the available appropriation to determine the percentage payoff on the dollar of each claim. The award amount for each applicant was calculated based on that payoff percentage. Funds awarded under this program last year totaled \$125,898

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

AL GALLEGO, citizen of Las Vegas, requested that Item No. 13 be pulled out of the Consent Agenda for discussion. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he would like the Item discussed as well.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COLINCII, MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

OTT GOODE MEETING OF A RICE 10, 2001		
DEPARTMENT: FIELD OPERATIONS Agenda Item No.:		
DIRECTOR: LARRY HAUGSNESS X CONSENT DISCUSSION		
SUBJECT:		
Approval of a modification to the professional service agreement with Kitchell CEM for increased work		
due to extended design and construction periods on the Stewart Avenue Parking Garage (\$123,000 -		
Parking Bonds) - Ward 5 (Weekly)		
Fiscal Impact		
No Impact Amount: \$123,000		
X Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: Field Operations/Streets		
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Parking Bonds		
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:		
Kitchell CEM is seeking an additional fee of \$123,000 for a project manager, a field office manager,		
and reimbursable costs due to an increased design and construction period of six months. Time		
extension during design is due to request by CCDC to develop alternate design scenarios. Time		
extension during construction is due to the incorporation of additional work (utility relocation) not a part		
of the original project scope.		
RECOMMENDATION:		
Approval		
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:		
Letter from Kitchell requesting the additional fee		
Detter from Extended requesting the auditorial fee		
MOTION:		

## MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT	Γ: FINANCE AND BUSIN	IESS SERV	ICES	
DIRECTOR:	MARK R. VINCENT	X	CONSENT	DISCUSSION

## **SUBJECT:**

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

## **Fiscal Impact**

П	FISCAI III PACE				
		No Impact	Amount:		
	X	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Accounting Operations		
		Augmentation Required	Funding Source:		

## **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 03/01/01 - 03/15/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 15,581,300.79
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,172,507.36
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 23,900,548.84
Other Checks and Investments	\$ 185,007.52

### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

## **SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Jennifer Davis, 3704 North Jensen Street, Jennifer Davis, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

## **Fiscal Impact**

X No Impact Amount:
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division:
Augmentation Required Funding Source:

## **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

## **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES** MARK R. VINCENT DIRECTOR: **CONSENT DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Luxmi Jayawardana, dba United Child Care, 3736 Broadriver Drive, Luxmi Jayawardana, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack) Fiscal Impact **No Impact** Amount: **Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: Augmentation Required Funding Source:** PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License **RECOMMENDATION:** Recommend approval

## **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



	CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001			
<b>DEPAR</b>	TMENT: FINANCE AND BU	<b>ISINESS SERVI</b>	CES	
DIRECT	OR: MARK R. VINCENT	X	CONSENT	DISCUSSION
planning	of a new Child Care Center/Prescodes, Health Dept. and Licensing, 1720 West Bonanza Road, Rey	g regulations, Reyna	ıldo Velasco, dba	a Happy Dolphin
Fiscal In	<u>npact</u>			
X	No Impact	Amount:		
	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division	:	
	Augmentation Required	Funding Sour	ce:	
		J		
<b>PURPO</b>	SE/BACKGROUND:			
Approval	of a new Child Care Center/Pres	chool		
Recommo	IMENDATION: end approval subject to the provisi regulations	ions of the fire and	planning codes, l	Health Dept. and
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: None				
abstainir	N:  - Motion to APPROVE Items  ag on Item 81, Sportspark, an  they all concern his brother's l	nd MACK abstair		

## **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES				
DIRECTOR:	MARK R. VINCENT	X CON	SENT	DISCUSSION
SUBJECT: Approval of a new Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. and Licensing regulations, Professional Development Center, 701 North Rancho Drive, E. Diana Goff, Licensee/License Holder, Administrator, Child & Family Services				
Div., Economic	Opportunity Board of Clar	k County - Ward 5 (Week	dy)	
Fiscal Impact	t			
X No Im		Amount:		
Budge	et Funds Available	Dept./Division:		
Augm	entation Required	Funding Source:		
PURPOSE/B	ACKGROUND:			
	ew Child Care Center/Nur	sery/Preschool License		
RECOMMEN Recommend apple Licensing regular	proval subject to the provis	ions of the fire and plannin	ng codes,	Health Dept. and
BACKUP DO None	CUMENTATION:			
abstaining on		3-12 and 14-82 – UNAN nd MACK abstaining or business		
MINUTES:				
There was no re	lated discussion.	(10.40 10.50)		
		(10:49 – 10:52) <b>2-2689</b>		



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BU	ISINESS SERVICES	
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
SUBJECT:		
	quor License for the Mexican Patriotic Committee, Location:	
11	te: May 6, 2001, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event:	
Cinco de Mayo Picnic, Responsible Person	n in Charge: Eddie Escobedo - Ward 3 (Reese)	
Fiscal Impact		
X No Impact	Amount:	
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:	
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:	
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:		
Approval of a Special Event Beer/Wine Lie	quor License	
RECOMMENDATION:		
Recommend approval		
DACKUR DOCUMENTATION:		
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: None		
None		
MOTION:		
	3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD	
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38		
because they all concern his brother's business		
MINUTES:		
There was no related discussion.		
	(10:49 - 10:52)	
	2-2689	

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES** MARK R. VINCENT DIRECTOR: **CONSENT DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, Mariscos El Pescador, Inc., dba Mariscos El Pescador, 4371 Stewart Ave., David Jimenez, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese) **Fiscal Impact** No Impact **Amount: Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: Augmentation Required Funding Source:** 

## PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

#### MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE	AND BUSINESS	SERV	ICES	
DIRECTOR: MARK R. V	INCENT	X	CONSENT	DISCUSSION
SUBJECT: Approval of Change of Ownersh License subject to the provisions dba Desert Shore Chevron, Ross 33.3%, John J. Haycock, Dir, Se Inc., dba Terrible's #227, 7300 V. Herbst, Secy, Treas, Edward J. H. Roop, VP - Ward 4 (Brown)	of Health Dept. reguls E. Williams, Dir, Precy, 16.7%, Clarence West Cheyenne Ave.,	ations, l es, 33.3 L. Hay Jerry E	From: Service St %, Renaldo M. 7 cock, Dir, 16.7% L. Herbst, Dir, Pr	tation Management, Inc., Tiberti, Dir, Treas, 6, To: Terrible Herbst, res, 100%, Maryanna A.
Fiscal Impact				
X No Impact	Amount			
Budget Funds Avai  Augmentation Req				
Augmentation Keq	uneu runum	Jour	ce.	
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND Approval of Change of Ownersh License		e for a I	Beer/Wine/Coole	er Off-sale Liquor
RECOMMENDATION: Recommend approval subject to	the provisions of Hea	lth Dept	t. regulations	
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION	ON:			
MOTION: REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business				
MINUTES: There was no related discussion.	(10:49 – 1	0:52)		



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES** MARK R. VINCENT DIRECTOR: **CONSENT DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: Approval of Change of Location for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Son & Son, dba Jackson Market, From: 1312 F Street, Suite D, To: 1218 D Street, Ki Suk Son, Co-owner, 50%, Suk Cha Son, Co-owner, 50% - Ward 5 (Weekly) **Fiscal Impact** No Impact Amount: **Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: Augmentation Required Funding Source:** 

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 5/2/2001 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

#### MINUTES:

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting a Verbatim Transcript was made a part of the final Minutes.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that late last week staff received some information that is still being reviewed with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro). Therefore, he requested the matter be held in abeyance for two weeks.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that he had requested that MR. DiFIORE work with Metro to ensure that the documentation received is accurate.

There was no further discussion.



CITY COUNCIL	MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001
<b>DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BU</b>	SINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION
SUBJECT:	
Approval of Manager for a Package Liquo	r License, The Vons Companies, Inc., dba Vons #1688,
820 South Rampart Blvd., James E. Corbe	tt, Gen Mgr - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)
Fiscal Impact	
X No Impact	Amount:
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:
	•
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:	
Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor	· License
RECOMMENDATION:	
Recommend approval	
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:	
None	
MOTION:	2 12 I 14 02 . I IN ANIMOLIC. P. I. M. M. DONALD.

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

## **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

	F: FINANCE AND BI		<del></del>		NECHECION
DIRECTOR:	MARK R. VINCENT		X CONSEN	''	DISCUSSION
SUBJECT: Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a Non-restricted Gaming License, Aztec Inn Casino Limited Partnership, dba From: Aztec Inn Casino, To: Aztec Gold Inn & Casino, 2200 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Paul L. Kellogg III, Gen Ptnr, 24%, Gary W. Vause, Gen Ptnr, 24%, Gerald D. Brinkman, Gen Ptnr, 24%, Donald J. Dombrowski, Gen Ptnr, 24%, William E. Maxwell, Ltd Ptnr, Gen Mgr, 4% - Ward 3 (Reese)					
Fiscal Impact					
X No Imp	act	Amount:			
Budget	Funds Available	Dept./Divi	sion:		
Augme	entation Required	Funding S	Source:		
PURPOSE/BA Approval of Chan License	ge of Business Name for	r a Tavern Liqu	or License and a	Non-restricted	d Gaming
Recommend appr					
None	UMENTATION:				
MOTION: REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business					
MINUTES: There was no rela	ted discussion.				
		(10:49 – 10:	52)		
		2-2689			



	CITY COUNCIL	MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001		
<b>DEPA</b>	DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES			
DIREC	TOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION		
Gaming	ral of a new Slot Operator Space Le	ease Location Limited Gaming License for 7 slots, City Stop n Cimarron Road - Ward 6 (Mack)		
X	No Impact	Amount:		
	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:		
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:		
	OSE/BACKGROUND: al of a new Slot Operator Space Lo	ease Location Limited Gaming License for 7 slots		

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

## **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

## **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

## **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)

## **AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AN	ID BIIGINESS S	EDVICES	
			DISCUSSION
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINC	ENI	X CONSENT	DISCUSSION
SUBJECT:			
Approval of a new Burglar Alarm L	icense, ASLC, Inc.,	dba Safevillage, 600	Congress Ave., Harris H.
Bass, Pres, Daniel J. Pike, VP, Doro	othy A. Bryant, Secy	. Karen L. Miller. Tre	eas, Larry E. Smith, OE -
(Austin, TX)		,,	,,,
(Mustin, 174)			
Figure I Impact			
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact	Amount:		
Budget Funds Availat	ole Dept./Div	ision:	
Augmentation Requir	ed Funding	Source:	
	•		
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Li	cense		
ripprovar of a new Bargiar ritarin B			
RECOMMENDATION:			
Recommend approval			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION	<u>:</u>		
None			
MOTION:			
<b>REESE</b> – Motion to APPROVE	Items 3-12 and 14	-82 – UNANIMOUS	S with M. McDONALD
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspa			
9 , 1 1	,	ibstanning on Items	54, 55, 50, 57, and 56
because they all concern his brot	ner's business		
MINUTES:			
There was no related discussion.			
There was no related discussion.	(10:40 10	52)	
	(10:49 - 10:	521	



DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:
Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Christopher Padilla, dba Christopher Padilla, 7310 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite M, Christopher D. Padilla, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact
X No Impact Amount:
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division:

**Funding Source:** 

## PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**Augmentation Required** 

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

## **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:
Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Philip Silva, dba P & E Silva, 7310
Smoke Ranch Road, Suite M, Philip A. Silva, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact
X No Impact Amount:
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division:

**Funding Source:** 

## PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**Augmentation Required** 

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

## **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPA	RTMENT	: FINANCE AND BU	<b>USINESS S</b>	ERVICES	
DIREC	TOR:	MARK R. VINCENT		X CONSENT	DISCUSSION
<u>SUBJ</u>					
Approv	al of a new	Independent Massage	Therapist Lice	ense, Lonnie Rushia, o	dba Lonnie Rushia, 3431
White I	Mission Driv	ve, Lonnie D. Rushia, 10	00% - Ward 4	4 (Brown)	
<u>Fiscal</u>	Impact				
X	No Impa	ıct	Amount:		
	Budget	Funds Available	Dept./Div	ision:	
	Augmer	ntation Required	Funding	Source:	
<b>PURP</b>	OSE/BAC	KGROUND:			
Approv	al of a new	Independent Massage	Therapist Lice	ense	
<b>RECO</b>	MMENDA	ATION:			
Recom	mend appro	val			
<b>BACK</b>	UP DOCU	<u>JMENTATION:</u>			
None					
MOTIO					
					S with M. McDONALD
abstair	ning on Ite	m 81, Sportspark, a	nd MACK a	abstaining on Items	s 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38
becaus	se they all c	concern his brother's	business		
	TEO.				
MINU.		1.12			
There v	vas no relate	ed discussion.	(10.40	50)	
			(10:49 - 10)	:52)	



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:
Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Nichole R. Degelbeck, dba Nichole R. Degelbeck, 4020 Bliss Canyon Ct., Nichole R. Degelbeck, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

## Fiscal Impact

X	No Impact	Amount:
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
	Augmentation Required	<b>Funding Source:</b>

## **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

## **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



CITY COUNCIL	MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001
<b>DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BU</b>	ISINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION
SUBJECT:	
	Therapist License, Megan Maguire, dba Megan Maguire,
2111 Sealion Drive, Unit 202, Megan E. M.	
2111 Seanon Dilve, Cint 202, Wegan E. W.	agaire, 100% ward + (brown)
Fiscal Impact	
X No Impact	Amount:
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: Approval of a new Independent Massage T	Therapist License
RECOMMENDATION: Recommend approval	
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: None	
	3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 business
MINUTES:  There was no related discussion	

(10:49 - 10:52)



DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

SUBJECT:
Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Dennis Pieratt, dba Dennis Pieratt, 2150
North Tenaya Way, Unit 1004, Dennis G. Pieratt, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

X No Impact

Amount:

**Dept./Division:** 

**Funding Source:** 

## PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**Budget Funds Available** 

**Augmentation Required** 

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:
Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Julie Debevc, dba Julie Debevc, 5517
Desert Valley Drive, Julie A. Debevc, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact
X No Impact Amount:
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division:

**Funding Source:** 

## **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**Augmentation Required** 

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

OTT OCCION MEETING OT: AT RIE 10, 2001			
DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES			
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION		
DIRECTOR: III/III TIII TIII TIII TIII TIII TIII	A CONCENT DISCOURING		
SUBJECT:			
Approval of Change of Location for an Inc	dependent Massage Therapist License, Heidi Roman, dba		
Heidi Roman, From: 7310 Smoke Ranch l	Road, Suite M, To: 533 Artola Street, Heidi J. Roman,		
100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)	toda, saite 14, 16, 555 i itola succe, i iciai vi itoliai,		
100% - Ward 2 (L.D. McDollaid)			
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact	Amount:		
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:		
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:		
Augmentation Required	runding Source.		
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
Approval of Change of Location for an Inc	dependent Massage Therapist License		
RECOMMENDATION:			
Recommend approval			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:			
None			
None			
MOTION.			
MOTION:			
<b>REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items</b>	3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD		
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38			
because they all concern his brother's business			
because they an concern his brother s	N COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PA		
MINUTES:			
There was no related discussion.			
(10:49 - 10:52)			
2-2689			

# City of Las Vegas

# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001
DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

# **SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License, Richard L. Pulsifer, Sr., dba American Karate School, 4025 West Charleston Blvd., Richard L. Pulsifer, Sr., 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

# **Fiscal Impact**

X	No Impact	Amount:
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

## **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

OTT OCCITOR MEETING OT AT RIE 10, 2001			
DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES			
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION			
DIRECTOR: MARKET THOUSEN			
OUD IDOT			
SUBJECT:			
Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License subject to the provisions of the fire codes,			
Kuochi Kalikar Martial Arts School, LLC, dba Kuochi Kalikar Martial Arts School, 5621 North			
Tenaya Way, Vincent M. Santos, Mmbr, 50%, Vernon C. Lacanilao, Mmbr, 50% - Ward 6 (Mack)			
Totalya (vaj, vincent ili Bantos, ilinici, 2070, venton et Eacannao, ilinici, 2070 - (vara et l'incent)			
Figure Impact			
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact Amount:			
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division:			
Augmentation Required Funding Source:			
: <b>g</b>			
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License			
RECOMMENDATION:			
Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:			
Map			
MOTION:			
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD			
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38			
because they all concern his brother's business			
because they an concern his brother 5 business			
MINUTES:			
There was no related discussion.			
(10:49 - 10:52)			
2-2689			



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

CITT COUNCIL	WEETING OF. APRIL	. 10, 200	<u> </u>
<b>DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BU</b>	SINESS SERVICES		
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CON	NSENT	DISCUSSION
SUBJECT:			
Approval of a new Massage Establishment	License subject to the pro	ovisions of	the fire codes, Duo Fei,
dba Lotus Flower Massage, 4507 West Sa	J 1		
McDonald)	, ,	,	•
,			
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact	Amount:		
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:		
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:		
Augmentation Required	runung oodroc.		
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
Approval of a new Massage Establishment			
RECOMMENDATION:			
Recommend approval subject to the provisi	ons of the fire codes		
<b>BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:</b>			
Map			
MOTION:			
<b>REESE</b> – Motion to APPROVE Items			
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, an	0	n Items 3	34, 35, 36, 37, and 38
because they all concern his brother's l	ousiness		
MINUTES:			
There was no related discussion.			
There was no related discussion.	(10:49 - 10:52)		
	(10.1) 10.02)		



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

#### **SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Duo Fei, dba Asian Flower Massage, 3201 West Sahara Ave., Suite B, Duo Fei, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal I	mpact

No Impact	Amount:
<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
Augmentation Required	<b>Funding Source:</b>

### **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

#### MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES** MARK R. VINCENT DIRECTOR: **CONSENT DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Donald Godsey, dba Don's Massage Therapy, 2101 South Decatur Blvd., Suite 11, Donald R. Godsey, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald) Fiscal Impact **No Impact** Amount: **Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: Augmentation Required Funding Source:** 

# PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001		
<b>DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BU</b>	SINESS SERVICES	
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
SUBJECT:		
	License, Christopher Marquez, dba Health Works Massage	
	Sahara Ave., Suite 101-A, Christopher Marquez, 100% -	
Ward 3 (Reese)		
Fiscal Impact		
Fiscal Impact	A	
X No Impact	Amount:	
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:	
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:	
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:		
Approval of a new Massage Establishment	License	
RECOMMENDATION:		
Recommend approval		
recommend approval		
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:		
Map		
1		
MOTION:		
<b>REESE</b> – Motion to APPROVE Items :	3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD	
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, ar	nd MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38	
because they all concern his brother's b	ousiness	

# MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001				
DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES				
DIREC	TOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X	CONSENT	DISCUSSION
			_	
<b>SUBJE</b>	CT:			
Approva	al of a new Massage Establishment	License subject to	o the provisions of	f the fire codes, Steve
Smith &	Associates, Inc., dba Salon Indigo	o, 3250 North Ter	aya Way, Suite 1	03, Steven L. Smith, Dir,
Pres, Se	cy, Treas, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown	1)		
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>			
X	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b>		
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Divisio	n:	
	Augmentation Required	Funding Sou		
	a cargana cara quan car			
PURPO	OSE/BACKGROUND:			
	al of a new Massage Establishment	License		
	J			
<b>RECO</b> I	MMENDATION:			
Recomn	nend approval subject to the provis	ions of the fire co	des	
BACK	UP DOCUMENTATION:			
Map				
MOTION:				
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD				
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38				
because they all concern his brother's business				
MINUTES:				
There was no related discussion.				
''		(10:49 - 10:52)		



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001			
DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES			
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION		
SUBJECT:			
	ssage Establishment License, On-Site Stress Relief, Inc., dba		
	est Sahara Ave., To: 7250 West Lake Mead Blvd., George		
M. Shimoyama, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 10			
	5,5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact	Amount:		
•			
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:		
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:		
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
Approval of Change of Location for a Mas	sage Establishment License		
RECOMMENDATION:			
Recommend approval			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:			
Map			
MOTION:			
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD			
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38			
because they all concern his brother's	business		
MINUTES:			
There was no related discussion.			
There was no related discussion.	(10:49 - 10:52)		
	(		



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES			
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION			
DIRECTOR: WARREN. VINCENT			
SUBJECT:			
Approval of Officer for a Pawnbroker/Pistol Permit/Class II Secondhand Dealer License, Camco, Inc.,			
dba Super Pawn, 3260 South Valley View Blvd., Thomas K. Haas, Pres, COO - Ward 1 (M. McDaneld)			
McDonald)			
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact Amount:			
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division:			
Augmentation Required Funding Source:			
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
Approval of Officer for a Pawnbroker/Pistol Permit/Class II Secondhand Dealer License			
RECOMMENDATION:			
Recommend approval			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:			
None			
MOTION:			
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD			
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38			
because they all concern his brother's business			
MINITES.			
MINUTES: Those was no related discussion			
There was no related discussion. $(10.49 - 10.52)$			
(10.49 - 10.37)			

# City of Las Vegas

# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for a Pawnbroker/Pistol Permit/Class II Secondhand Dealer License, Camco, Inc., dba Super Pawn, 7585 West Washington Ave., Thomas K. Haas, Pres, COO - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

# **Fiscal Impact**

X	No Impact	Amount:
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

#### **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Officer for a Pawnbroker/Pistol Permit/Class II Secondhand Dealer License

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)

# City of Las Vegas

# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES DIRECTOR:** MARK R. VINCENT **CONSENT DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: Approval of Officer for a Pawnbroker/Pistol Permit/Class II Secondhand Dealer License, Camco, Inc., dba: Super Pawn, 515 East St. Louis Ave.; Super Pawn, 2300 East Charleston Blvd.; Super Pawn, 2300 East Bonanza Rd.; Stoney's Pawn Shop, 126 South 1st Street, Thomas K. Haas, Pres, COO -Ward 3 (Reese) **Fiscal Impact** No Impact Amount: Χ **Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: Augmentation Required Funding Source:** PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: Approval of Officer for a Pawnbroker/Pistol Permit/Class II Secondhand Dealer License **RECOMMENDATION:** Recommend approval **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:** None **MOTION:** REESE - Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 - UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD

abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

#### SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for a Pawnbroker/Pistol Permit/Class II Secondhand Dealer License, Camco, Inc., dba Super Pawn, 4111 North Rancho Drive, Thomas K. Haas, Pres, COO - Ward 4 (Brown)

## **Fiscal Impact**

X	No Impact	Amount:
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division:
	Augmentation Required	<b>Funding Source:</b>

## **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Officer for a Pawnbroker/Pistol Permit/Class II Secondhand Dealer License

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)

# City of Las Vegas

# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

### **SUBJECT:**

Approval of Officer for a Pawnbroker/Pistol Permit/Class II Secondhand Dealer License, Camco, Inc., dba: Super Pawn, 6824 West Cheyenne Ave.; Super Pawn, 5910 West Lake Mead Blvd., Thomas K. Haas, Pres, COO - Ward 6 (Mack)

## Fiscal Impact

X	No Impact	Amount:
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for a Pawnbroker/Pistol Permit/Class II Secondhand Dealer License

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES		
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
CUD IDOT.		
SUBJECT:		
	lass II Secondhand Dealer License, From: Wayne A.	
	a Tony's Restaurant Equipment & Repair, 1221 South Main	
Street, Grace Callaway, 100% - Ward 3 (	Reese)	
Fiscal Impact		
X No Impact	Amount:	
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:	
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:	
	_	
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:		
Approval of Change of Ownership for a C	lass II Secondhand Dealer License	
RECOMMENDATION:		
Recommend approval		
TI		
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:		
None		
None		
MOTION:		
	3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD	
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38		
because they all concern his brother's business		
because they an concern his brother's business		
MINUTES:		
There was no related discussion.		
(10:49 - 10:52)		
2-2689		



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:
Preapproval to lease two Unisys Mainframe Computers, with associated software and services. Lease term of 48 months for Model LX6120, and 60 months for Model CS7120 - Department of Information Technologies (LR) (Total amount of both leases estimated between \$1,500,000 and \$1,800,000 - General Fund)

## Fiscal Impact

No Impact Amount: \$1,500,000 - \$1,800,000

X Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: Information Technologies

Augmentation Required Funding Source: General Fund

# **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Current lease of Unisys mainframe computer is about to expire. These leases for new equipment offer a solution that will provide support for legacy systems, provide for backup capability, and provide for a test/development environment. Time is critical, and staff is currently obtaining competitive lease quotations, and working out details of leasing arrangements, which are quite complex. Award will be made to the leasing firm which provides the best total package. Anticipated monthly savings are expected to exceed \$15,000.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council grant preapproval to enter into leases for the two computers.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

	011 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 7 1 1 1 1		
DEPA	DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES		
DIREC	CTOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
SUBJ	ECT:		
		22-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Irrigation Parts -	
		recommended to: TURF EQUIPMENT & SUPPLY	
-	Estimated annual amount of \$500,000 - General Fund)		
	. ,	,	
Fiscal Impact			
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$500,000	
X	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division: Parks and Open Spaces	
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund	

### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide Parks and Open Spaces with an annual requirements contract for irrigation parts.

POC: Turf Equipment and Supply (702) 873-2468

# **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010022-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Irrigation Parts to Turf Equipment & Supply for the period from date of award through March 31, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated amount of \$500,000.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



CITT COUNCIL MEETING OF. AFRIL 10, 2001			
DEPA	DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES		
DIREC	TOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
OUD II	FOT		
<u>SUBJI</u>	<u>ECT:</u>		
Approv	al of the issuance of a purchase or	der for an annual contract for product and technical support	
services	for the Oracle system for the peri	od from 5/9/01 through and including 5/8/02 (JDF) -	
	•		
-		Award recommended to: ORACLE CORPORATION	
(\$426,1	(\$426,111 - General Fund)		
Fiscal Impact			
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$426,111	
X	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division: Information Technologies	
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund	

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On 5/3/00, City Council approved the issuance of Amendment Number Two to RFP Number 1010-97 to extend the contract for three additional years, and provide funding for additional user licenses, additional modules, and continued technical support in the amount of \$1,391,259 for Year One of the new contract.

This purchase order will provide for annual product/technical support only for Year Two of the renewed contract, the period from 5/9/01 through and including 5/8/02. This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding by NRS 332.115.1(c), Software.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order to Oracle Corporation in the amount of \$426,111.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE - Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 - UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES MARK R. VINCENT** DIRECTOR: **CONSENT DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: Approval of the award of Bid Number 010059-TG, Annual Requirements Contract for Lamps and Bulbs - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: QED ELECTRIC (Estimated annual amount of \$300,000 - General Fund) **Fiscal Impact No Impact Amount:** \$300,000 **Budget Funds Available Dept./Division:** Public Works X **Augmentation Required** 

Funding Source: General Fund

### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide the Traffic Engineering/Field Operation Division with an annual requirements contract for the purchase of lamps and bulbs.

POC: Joseph F. Strong - (702) 871-4108

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010059-TG, Annual Requirements Contract for Lamps and Bulbs to QED Electric for the period from date of award through May 31, 2002, with four (4) one year options to renew in the estimated amount of \$300,000.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:
Approval of revision number one to Purchase Order 208594, Annual Requirements Contract for the purchase of unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: REBEL OIL COMPANY (\$250,000 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact
No Impact
Amount: \$250,000

X Budget Funds Available
Dept./Division: Fleet/Transportation Services

### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

**Augmentation Required** 

On June 1, 2000, Purchase Order 208594 was issued to exercise renewal option year one (1), for an annual requirements contract for the purchase of unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel in the estimated annual amount of \$900,000. Revision number one will change estimated annual amount to \$1,150,000, an increase of \$250,000. This increase in the estimated annual amount is the result of unanticipated increases in fuel cost.

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve revision number one to purchase order 208594, annual requirements contract for the purchase of unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel to Rebel Oil Company for \$250,000.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

## **SUBJECT:**

Approval of Joinder Agreement to authorize the use of State of Nevada Request for Proposal Number 00-01 (KF) Telecommunications Transport Services for an Annual Requirements Contract for Long Distance Telephone Service for the period from Date of Award through December 31, 2002, with two (2) one-year options to renew - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS, CO., L.P. (Estimated annual amount of \$200,000 - General & Internal Service Funds)

# **Fiscal Impact**

No Impact Amount: Estimated \$200,000

X Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: Information Technologies
Augmentation Required Funding Source: General & Internal Service Funds

#### **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On December 13, 2000, The State of Nevada approved the award of RFP Number 00-01 for an Annual Requirements Contract for Telecommunications Transport Services for the period from date of award to December 31, 2002, with two (2) one year options to renew.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to use the contract of other local governments.

POC: Jackie Lucky - (800) 488-1916

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the use of State of Nevada RFP Number 00-01 for an ARC for long distance telephone service for the period from date of award through 12/31/02, with two (2) one- year option to renew from Sprint Communications Co., L.P. in the estimated annual amount of \$200,000.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Joinder Agreement

#### MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

# Agenda Item No. 45

# City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Finance and Business Services Item 45 – Long Distance Telephone Service with Sprint Communications, Co., L.P.

# **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 – 10:52) **2-2689** 



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

OTT COCKOL MEETING OT: AT RIE 10, 2001			
DEPA	DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES		
DIREC	TOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
<b>SUBJI</b>	ECT:		
Approv	al of award of Bid Number 01.157	702.01-LED, Plumbing Retrofit - Las Vegas City Hall	
-	·	nflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business	
Services	Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: TRADE WEST		
CONST	CONSTRUCTION (\$128,500 - General Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)		
CONSTRUCTION (\$120,500 Contract unit) Ward 5 (Weekly)			
Fiscal Impact			
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$128,500	
X	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Public Works/Bldg. Services	
	-aagar anao manabio	- The state of the branching branchi	

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The work under this project shall generally consist of the replacement of four (4) four-inch (4") vertical drain lines associated with the stacked break rooms and drinking fountains in the City Hall tower. This contract is for the plumbing portion of the project.

Augmentation Required Funding Source: General Fund

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.15702.01-LED, Plumbing Retrofit - Las Vegas City Hall Complex to Trade West Construction, in the amount of \$128,500 and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$15,000.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

		•	
DEPA	DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES		
DIREC	TOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
<b>SUBJ</b>	ECT:		
Approv	val of revision number two to Purch	nase Order 209953, Annual Requirements Contract for	
Origina	l Equipment Manufacturer (OEM)	Parts - Department of Fire Services - Award recommended	
to: FIR	to: FIRST IN, INC. (Estimated annual amount of \$60,000 - General Fund)		
Fiscal Impact			
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$60,000	
X	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division: Fire Services	
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund	

### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On February 7, 2001, City Council approved revision number one to Purchase Order 209953 for original equipment manufacturer (OEM) parts for Pierce Manufacturing Inc. emergency vehicles in the estimated annual amount of \$40,000. Revision number two will add an additional \$60,000 to purchase miscellaneous brackets and fittings to standardize the remaining eight new fire apparatus units.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve revision number two of purchase order number 209953 for the purchase of original equipment manufacturer (OEM) parts to First In, Inc. in the amount of \$60,000.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT X CONSENT DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:
Approval to award Professional Services Agreement and License Agreement for database modeling software and services - Planning & Development Department - Award recommended to: MULTIGEN - PARADIGM, INC. (\$29,670 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact
No Impact
Amount: \$29,670
X Budget Funds Available
Dept./Division: Planning & Development

### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

**Augmentation Required** 

This purchase will provide the City of Las Vegas with a software program to be used by the Planning & Development Department to develop models of upcoming projects. The models will allow City Council and other interested parties the opportunity to view a finished product prior to actual construction or remodeling. The purchase will also provide training to City personnel in the use of the software and an annual maintenance support of the software.

Funding Source: General Fund

POC: David Pauley - (949) 797-2766

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of the professional services agreement and the license agreement to MultiGen - Paradigm, Inc. in the amount of \$29,670.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Professional Services Agreement License Agreement Disclosure Statement

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

CITT COUNCIL MEETING OF A RIE 10, 2001			
DEPA	DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES		
DIREC	TOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
SUBJI	ECT.		
<b>Approva</b>	al of Interlocal Agreement and the	e issuance of a Purchase Order for an annual requirements	
contract	for Geographic Information Servi	ices (JDF) - Department of Information Technologies -	
	U I	· / 1	
Award recommended to: CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA - (Amount of \$15,000 - General Fund)			
Fiscal Impact			
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$ 15,000	
	•		
X	Budget Funds Available	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Information Technologies	

### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

**Augmentation Required** 

This requirement is for the cost sharing of Geographic Information Systems resources created by the County for use by participating jurisdictions in Southern Nevada for the period July 1, 2000 through and including June 30, 2001.

Funding Source: General Fund

This item is exempt from competitive bidding under NRS 332.115.1(a).

# **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the interlocal agreement and the issuance of a purchase order for geographic information services in the amount of \$15,000.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Clark County and City of Las Vegas Geographic Information Interlocal Contract

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001

CITT COUNCIL MEETING OF A RIE 10, 2001			
<b>DEPA</b>	DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES		
DIREC	TOR: MARK R. VINCENT	X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
SUBJ	ECT:		
		rder for software upgrades for ArcSDE and Arcview GIS	
- 1	*	ion Technologies - Award Recommended to:	
<b>ENVIR</b>	ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS RESEARCH INSTITUTE (ESRI) (\$61,710 - General Fund)		
Fiscal Impact			
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$61,710	
X	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Information Technologies	
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund	

### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement is for a major enhancement of existing GIS software that will allow integration with MSWord, MS Excel, PowerPoint, Oracle Applications, Hansen and FileNet. This will also resolve problems with the existing SDE server.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding under NRS 332.115.1(h), Software. Purchase of this item before May 1, 2001 will save the City approximately \$11,000.

POC: George Ishikawa - (909) 793-2853, extension 2349

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for software upgrades for ArcSDE and Arcview software in the amount of \$67,710.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

REESE - Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 - UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

Agenda Item No. 52

Agenda Item No. 50A



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

	***************************************	
DEPA	RTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE	
DIREC	TOR: MARIO TREVIÑO	X CONSENT DISCUSSION
<b>SUBJI</b>	ECT:	
Approva	al of (2) Federal Emergency Manage	ement Agency (FEMA) grant application submittals through
the Unit	ted States Fire Administration (USF	FA) (\$565,000 total request with a \$210,000 City match to
be funde	be funded through the Fire Capital Improvement Projects and General Fund)	
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>	
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$210,000
X	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Fire /Admin & Fire Prevention
	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	Funding Source: CIP & General Fund

# **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Requesting permission to submit two federal grants through FEMA in the amounts of \$315,000 and \$250,000. The first application will be submitted for funding communications equipment designed for breathing apparatus. The second application is for developing alternative and advanced technologies to expand the public safety education programs utilizing the existing infrastructures of the City's KCLV cable television system, worldwide web page, and Clark Co. School District's computer network(s) and Internet access.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval to submit applications.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Two City of Las Vegas Grant Applications

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

	0111 00011012 III2211110 01 : 741 1412 10, 2001		
	RTMENT: HUMAN RESOUR		
DIREC	TOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENU	JS X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
SUBJECT: Approval to accept the invitation to participate as a member of the Cooperative Personnel Services Board and to appoint F. Claudette Enus, Director, Human Resources as the City of Las Vegas representative on that board			
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>		
X	No Impact	Amount:	
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division:	
	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	Funding Source:	

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Cooperative Personnel Services (CPS) a California Joint Powers Agency created to assist the Board member agencies in their efforts to develop and implement modern personnel procedures has invited the City of Las Vegas and the State of Wisconsin to participate as its first non-California Board members. CPS's mission is "To provide professionally sound, client-oriented human resources products and services to public and non-profit clients." Current CPS Board members include Directors or lead HR Executives for the City of Anaheim, California State Personnel Board, East Bay Municipal Utilities District, Hayward Unified School District, Sacramento County, City and County of San Francisco and Sonoma County.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City accept the invitation to participate as a member of the CPS Board..

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. CPS Invitation letter
- 2. CPS Bylaws
- 3. Recommendation by CPS Board to add City of Las Vegas and State of Wisconsin

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

	DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM X CONSENT DISCUSSION		
DIREC	TOR: SHARON SEGERBL	OM X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
<u>SUBJI</u>	ECT:		
		OME funds from Neighborhood Housing Services (NHS)	
		County (EOB) to be used for their Homebuyer Assistance	
Progran	Program - All Wards		
Fiscal Impact			
- I ISCAI	1	A	
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$50,000	
X	Budget Funds Available	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Dev.	
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: HOME	

### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Due to the increased need for funds for homebuyer downpayment and closing costs, EOB has requested additional HOME funds for their Homebuyer Assistance Program. NHS was notified in writing on September 15, 2000 that their funds were being reallocated because they were not being utilized.

# **RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Manager recommends approval of this reprogramming of funds and authorizes the Mayor to execute the contract after approval by the City Attorney.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Request letter from Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County

# **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

	SITT OOONOIL	MEETING OF A RIE 10, 2001
DEPART DIRECTO	MENT: PUBLIC WORKS R: RICHARD D. GOECI	KE X CONSENT DISCUSSION
Water Distr Section 4, 7	f an Easement from the City of I rict, a Quasi Municipal Corporat	Las Vegas, a Municipal Corporation to the Las Vegas Valley ion, for a portion of the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of hydrant easement located on the west side of Buffalo Drive, 103 - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)
Fiscal Im	<u>pact</u>	
X No	o Impact	Amount:
В	udget Funds Available	Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer
A	ugmentation Required	Funding Source:
	E/BACKGROUND:  IENDATION:	
BACKUP None	DOCUMENTATION:	
abstaining	Motion to APPROVE Items	3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD d MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 business
MINUTES There was a	6: no related discussion.	

(10:49 - 10:52)



		,
DEPA	RTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS	
DIREC	TOR: RICHARD D. GOEC	KE X CONSENT DISCUSSION
<b>SUBJ</b>	ECT:	
Approv	ral of the Relocation Plan for the An	n Road Improvements Project - US95/Rancho Drive to
Ferrell 3	Street (\$50,000 - Clark County Reg	gional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)
<b>Fiscal</b>	<u>Impact</u>	
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$50,000
X	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: RTC
L		

### **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This item is related to Agenda Item #47 of the City Council meeting of October 18, 2000, which directed staff to appraise and purchase or condemn right-of-way parcels on this project. The cost estimate for the Relocation Plan was included in the overall costs approved with Item #47 of the October 18, 2000 meeting. The Relocation Plan covers costs to be incurred with the purchase of a residential property.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)

		: PUBLIC WORKS	,		
DIREC	TOR:	RICHARD D. GOEC	KE	X CONSENT	DISCUSSION
Approvi (NW 1/2 Expansi	SUBJECT: Approval of an Easement to Las Vegas Valley Water District for a portion of the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 27, T20S, R61E, M.D.M., for a water easement to serve the Variety Day Home Expansion located on the west side of "C" Street, south of Jefferson Avenue - 139-27-211-059 - Ward 5 (Weekly)				
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>				
X	No Impa	ect	<b>Amount:</b>		
	Budget	Funds Available	Dept./Divi	sion: PW/City Engi	neer
	Augmer	tation Required	Funding S	Source:	
PURP	OSE/BAC	KGROUND:			
RECO Approv	MMENDA al	ATION:			
BACK None	UP DOCL	JMENTATION:			
abstain	$\overline{E - Motion}$		nd MACK al		S with M. McDONALD 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38
MINU7		od discussion			

(10:49 – 10:52) **2-2689** 



		MEETING OF	10, 200	
DEPARTMEN <sup>*</sup>	T: PUBLIC WORKS		_	
DIRECTOR:	RICHARD D. GOEC	KE X	CONSENT	DISCUSSION
			_	
SUBJECT:				
	italaim Daad to Anthony	Domy and Cylvia D	torry bushand an	d wife as joint tenants, for
	•	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
*	Northwest Quarter (NW 1)			
residential proper	ty to homeowners, located	d at 2212 Valley D	rive, north of La	ıke Mead Boulevard -
139-19-112-012	- Ward 5 (Weekly)			
Fiscal Impact				
X No Imp	pact	Amount:		
Budge	t Funds Available	Dept./Division	1: PW/City Engir	neer
Augme	entation Required	<b>Funding Sour</b>	rce:	
PURPOSE/BA	CKGROUND			
		hrytha managatry a		his Ovitalaim Daad will
	d to the City of Las Vegas	by the property of	wher in error. The	ans Quitciaim Deed will
correct the error.				
RECOMMEND	ATION:			
Approval				
<b>BACKUP DOC</b>	<b>UMENTATION:</b>			
None				
MOTION:				
	on to ADDDOVE Itoms	2 12 and 14 92	LINANIMOLIS	S with M. McDONALD
U			ming on Items	34, 35, 36, 37, and 38
because they all	concern his brother's	business		
MINUTES:				

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



<b>DEPARTMENT</b>	T: PUBLIC WORKS			
DIRECTOR:	RICHARD D. GOEC	KE X	CONSENT	DISCUSSION
sewer and drainag 20, T19S, R60E, I streets are Elkhorn	• •	land lying within the perimeter boundaries	Northeast Ques of Mountain	arter (NE 1/4) of Section n Ridge Park - boundary
- Ward 6 (Mack)				
Fiscal Impact				
X No Imp	act	Amount:		
Budget	Funds Available	Dept./Division: F	PW/City Engin	neer
	ntation Required	Funding Source	•	
PURPOSE/BA	CKGROUND:			
RECOMMEND. Approval	ATION:			
BACKUP DOC None	UMENTATION:			
abstaining on It		d MACK abstainir		with M. McDONALD 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38
MINUTES:				

### There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



	OITT GOOMGIE	MEETING OF A RIE 10, 2001
DEPA	RTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS	
DIREC	CTOR: RICHARD D. GOEC	KE X CONSENT DISCUSSION
<b>SUBJ</b>	ECT:	
Approv	val of a Participation Contract for Go	owan Lone Mountain System Gilmore Channel Number
LAS10	Q 01 by and between the Clark Co	unty Regional Flood Control District, the City of Las Vegas
and Per	rma Bilt Corporation for the construction	ction of flood control improvements from the Lone Mountain
Detenti	on Basin to the Western Beltway -	Ward 4 (Brown)
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>	
X	No Impact	Amount:
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:
		_

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Participation Contract by and between the Clark County Regional Flood Control District, the City of Las Vegas and Perma Bilt Corporation, applies to construction of flood control improvements from the Lone Mountain Detention Basin to the Western Beltway. The CCRFCD approved this contract at their March 8, 2001 Board meeting. The CCRFCD will reimburse Perma Bilt 35% or \$1,384,778 of this \$3,962,804 project.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Participation Contract LAS.10.Q.01

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



DEPARTMENT: PU	BLIC WORKS		
	CHARD D. GOECKE	X CONSENT	DISCUSSION
SUBJECT:			
	on of Utilization from th	ne Bureau of Land Management	for a portion of the
Northwest Quarter (NW	V 1/4) of Section 1, T2	OS, R59E, M.D.M., for roadwa	ay, sewer and drainage
facilities located south or	f Lone Mountain Road	, west of Barden Road - 137-0	1-101-003, 137-01-
101-004, 137-01-101-	005, 137-01-101-006	, & 137-01-101-011 - Ward 4	(Brown)
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact	Aı	mount:	
Budget Fun	ds Available De	ept./Division: PW/City Engir	neer
Augmentati	on Required Fu	ınding Source:	
PURPOSE/BACKG	ROUND:		
DECOMMENDATIO			
RECOMMENDATIO	<u>'N:</u>		
Approval			
BACKUP DOCUME	NTATION:		
None	MIATION.		
Tione			
MOTION:			
<b>REESE</b> – Motion to A	APPROVE Items 3-12	2 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS	with M. McDONALD
abstaining on Item 8	1, Sportspark, and N	MACK abstaining on Items	34, 35, 36, 37, and 38
because they all conce	ern his brother's busi	iness	
MINUTES:			
There was no related dis	scussion		
There was no related the	Jean Dioli.		

(10:49 – 10:52) **2-2689** 



### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS
DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE X CONSENT DISCUSSION

#### **SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Power Pole Relocation Agreement with Nevada Power Company for construction work in conjunction with the Washington Avenue, Martin Luther King to I-15 to Owens Avenue Project (\$15,285 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

### **Fiscal Impact**

No Impact Amount: \$15,285

X Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

Augmentation Required Funding Source: CCRFCD

### **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Agreement will secure the services of Nevada Power Company for the relocation of several power poles that are in conflict with roadway and drainage improvements on Washington Avenue, Martin Luther King to I-15, and B Street, Washington Avenue to Owens Avenue.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Power Pole Relocation Agreement

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOEC	KE X CONSENT DISCUSSION
11	n Focus Commercial Group on behalf of Foghorn Properties, Drive and Grand Teton Drive) - Ward 6 (Mack)
X No Impact	Amount:
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

### **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 5' wide strip of landscaping on Durango Drive extending northward from Grand Teton Drive for approximately 165' and also on the northwest corner of Durango Drive and Grand Teton Drive consisting of shrubs of various types and sizes, stone ground cover and a drip-irrigation system to satisfy a condition of PM-3-00 and SD-31-99 for the proposed Grand Teton/Durango Commercial Center. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign and Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance and removal.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Vicinity Map/Exhibit "A" (northwest corner of Durango Drive and Grand Teton Drive)

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.



	<b>011 1 00011011</b>				
<b>DEPA</b>	DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS				
DIREC	TOR: RICHARD D. GOE	CKE X CONSENT DISCUSSION			
011D II					
<u>SUBJ</u>	<u>ECT:</u>				
Approv	al of a Professional Services Agre	ement with Stantec for the design services of Deer Springs			
Park Pn	iase One located at Elknorn and B	suffalo (\$395,000 - Park Impact Fees) - Ward 6 (Mack)			
Fiscal	Impact				
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$395,000			
X	Budget Funds Available	<b>Dept./Division:</b> PW/Engineering Integration			
	Daaget i alias Available	Dept. Division. 1 W/Lingmeeting integration			
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: Park Impact Fees			

### **PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Initial Design of a multi-use park area on (20) acres, adjacent to Elkhorn and Buffalo; part of a masterplanned, ultimate, regional facility of (120) acres.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the negotiated Professional Services Agreement with Stantec for the design services of Deer Springs Park Phase One in the amount of \$395,000 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$5,000.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Professional Services Agreement

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



DEPA	RTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS		
DIREC	TOR: RICHARD D. GOECI	KE X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
SUBJE	ECT.		
	<u> </u>	he Las Vegas Valley Water District for water service at	
Elkhorn	Springs Park located at Golden Ta	lon Ave & Buffalo Dr. (\$59,600 - Las Vegas Convention	
and Visi	and Visitor Authority) - Ward 6 (Mack)		
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>		
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> \$59,600	
X	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> PW/Engineering Integration	
	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	Funding Source: LVCVA	

### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A necessary part of this project is the installation of water service. Before the Las Vegas Valley Water District will sign the service connection documents and allow the City to install the water service, the Interlocal Agreement with conditional water commitment must be executed and the required fees paid.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement No. 107407

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001			
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY			
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT DISCUSSION			
SUBJECT:			
RESOLUTIONS:			
R-33-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Sixty-Second			
Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 707 Summerlin			
Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)			
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact Amount: N/A			
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID			
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Assessment			
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements,			
and water main projects. Parcels are located in Aspen Meadows Unit 2 by Kimball Hill Homes			
Nevada, Inc.			
RECOMMENDATION:			
It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:			
Resolution No. R-33-2001			

### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 200

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT DISCUSSION
SUBJECT:
RESOLUTIONS:
<b>R-34-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution approving the Sixty-Second Assessment Lien Apportionment
Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 707 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward
2 (L.B. McDonald)
<u>Fiscal Impact</u>
X No Impact Amount: N/A
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Assessment
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:
Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements,
and water main projects. Parcels are located in Aspen Meadows Unit 2 by Kimball Hill Homes
Nevada, Inc.
RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.
DACKUD DOCUMENTATION
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:
.Resolution No. R-34-2001
MOTION

### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT DISCUSSION
CUD IFOT.
SUBJECT:
RESOLUTIONS:
<b>R-35-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Sixth Assessment
Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1447 - Buffalo/Cheyenne
Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)
Elecation and
Fiscal Impact
X No Impact Amount: N/A
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Assessment
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:
Installation of paving, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, commercial driveway approaches,
potable water laterals, a potable water distribution main, sanitary sewer laterals, and a sanitary sewer collection main along Washington Avenue, Buffalo Drive and Cheyenne Avenue.
conection main along washington Avenue, Burraio Drive and Cheyenne Avenue.
RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:
Resolution No. R-35-2001
MOTION:
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 20	001
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY	
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT	DISCUSSION
SUBJECT:	
RESOLUTIONS:	
<b>R-36-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution approving the Sixth Assessment Lier regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1447 - Buffalo/Cheyenne Area Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)	
Fiscal Impact	
X No Impact Amount: N/A	
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID	
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Asset	essment
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: Installation of paving, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, common potable water laterals, a potable water distribution main, sanitary sewer later collection main along Washington Avenue, Buffalo Drive and Cheyenne Avenue,	als, and a sanitary sewer
RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution	

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-36-2001

### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT DISCUSSION
SUBJECT:
RESOLUTIONS:
<b>R-37-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the First Assessment
Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 462 - Verde Way (Levy
Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)
Tissessificitis) Ward 6 (Mack)
Fiscal Impact
X No Impact Amount: N/A
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Assessments
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:
Installation of street paving and driveway approaches along Verde Way between Jones Boulevard and
Leon Avenue.
RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:
Resolution No. R-37-2001
MOTION:
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38
because they all concern his brother's business

### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT DISCUSSION
SUBJECT:
RESOLUTIONS:
<b>R-38-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution approving the First Assessment Lien Apportionment Report
regarding: Special Improvement District No. 462 - Verde Way (Levy Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)
Fiscal Impact
<u></u>
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Assessments
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:
Installation of street paving and driveway approaches along Verde Way between Jones Boulevard and Leon Avenue.
Leon Avenue.
RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:
Resolution No. R-38-2001
MOTION:

REESE - Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 - UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2009

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001				
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY				
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT DISCUSSION				
SUBJECT: RESOLUTIONS:				
<b>R-39-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Second Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1445 - Industrial/Meadows Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 3 - (Reese)				
Fiscal Impact				
X No Impact Amount: N/A				
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID				
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Assessments				
Augmentation required Funding Course. Levy Assessments				
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:				
Installation of curbs, gutters, sidewalks, streetlights, residential driveway approaches and commercial				
driveway approaches in the Industrial/Meadows Area.				
RECOMMENDATION:				
It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.				
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:				
Resolution No. R-39-2001				
Resolution No. Re39-2001				
MOTION:				
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD				
abstraining on Itam 91 Chartenauly and MACV abstraining on Itams 24 25 26 27 and 29				

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001				
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT DISCUSSION				
SUBJECT: RESOLUTIONS:				
R-40-2001 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Second Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1445 - Industrial/Meadows Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 3 (Reese)  Fiscal Impact				
X No Impact Amount: N/A				
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID				
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Assessments				
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:				
Installation of curbs, gutters, sidewalks, streetlights, residential driveway approaches and commercial driveway approaches in the Industrial/Meadows Area.				
RECOMMENDATION:				
It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.				
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: Resolution No. R-40-2001				
MOTION:				

REESE - Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 - UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001				
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT DISCUSSION				
SUBJECT: RESOLUTION:				
<b>R-41-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Fifth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 458 - Lake Mead Boulevard (Levy Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)				
Fiscal Impact  Amount: N/A  Amount: N/A				
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID				
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Assessments				
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: Installation of street paving, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk, commercial driveway approaches, street lights, water laterals, sewer laterals, water distribution main and drainage facilities on Lake Mead Boulevard between Winwood Street and Rainbow Boulevard.				
RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.				
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: Resolution No. R-41-2001				

### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



		CITT COUNCIL	_ IVIEE I IING	OF: APRIL 16, 200	וע
DEPA	RTMENT	: CITY ATTORNEY	7		
DIREC	CTOR:	BRADFORD R. JE	RBIC	X CONSENT	DISCUSSION
<u>SUBJ</u>					
RESO	LUTIONS:				
D 42 1	)001 Ann	royal of Dagalytica can	roving the Eif	th Assassment Lion Ar	mortionment Denort
		**	_	th Assessment Lien Ap	evy Assessments) - Ward
5 (Wee	•	Improvement District	110. 430 - Lai	c ivicad Douicvard (L	evy Assessments) - ward
3 (1100	My)				
Fiscal	<b>Impact</b>				
X	No Impa	ict	Amount:	N/A	
	Budget	Funds Available	Dept./Div	vision: PW/SID	
	Augmer	ntation Required	Funding	Source: Levy Asses	ssment
		CKGROUND:			
					riveway approaches, street
				nain and drainage facili	tes on Lake Mead
Boulev	ard between	n Winwood Street and	Rainbow Bot	ılevard.	

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-42-2001

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001				
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY				
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT DISCUSSION				
SUBJECT:				
RESOLUTIONS:				
<b>R-43-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Second Assessment				
Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1448 - Sawtooth Phase V				
(Levy Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)				
Final Impact				
Fiscal Impact				
X No Impact Amount: N/A				
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID				
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Assessments				
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:				
Installation of street paving, curbs and gutters in the Sawtooth Phase V.				
DECOMMENDATION.				
RECOMMENDATION:				
It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.				
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:				
Resolution No. R-43-2001				
Resolution 1vo. IX 45 2001				
MOTION:				
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD				

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 200

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC X CONSENT DISCUSSION
SUBJECT:
RESOLUTIONS:
<b>R-44-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution approving the Second Assessment Lien Apportionment Report
regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1448 - Sawtooth Phase V (Levy Assessments) - Ward 5
(Weekly)
Fiscal Impact
X No Impact Amount: N/A
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/SID
Augmentation Required Funding Source: Levy Assessments
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:
Installation of street paving, curbs and gutters in the Sawtooth V.
RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:
Resolution No. R-44-2001
MOTION:
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38
herause they all concern his brother's business

### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:49 - 10:52)



DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS							
	DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE X CONSENT DISCUSSION						
DIRLO	TOIL.	MONAND D. COL		<u> </u>	MOLINI	Dioocooloit	
SUBJ	ECT.						
	LUTIONS:						
KESUL	LUTIONS:						
D 45.0	004	1.6.75.1.4	1' 0.1	11.05 #	4 .4	111 1: 0 07	
		roval of a Resolution a	_		_	•	
mph to	35 mph on	Tenaya Way between	Tropical Par	rkway and nor	th City lim	its - Ward 6 (Mack)	
<u>Fiscal</u>	<b>Impact</b>						
X	No Impa	act	Amoun	t:			
	Rudget	Funds Available	Dent /D	ivision: PW	//Traffic En	gineering	
					/ Hanne Lin	gnicering	
	Augmei	ntation Required	Fundin	g Source:			
		CKGROUND:					
Portions	s of this sec	tion of Tenaya Way a	e within the	County and a	re already	posted at 35 mph. Speed	
studies	conducted s	showed the 85th perce	ntile speed is	42 mph; how	vever, since	e it is a minor arterial with	
an 80-fe	oot right-of	-way, a speed limit of	35 mph wou	ıld be appropr	iate and wo	ould conform to the	
sections	s in the Cou	inty posted at 35 mph.	•				
		J 1 1					
RECO	MMFND	ATION:					
RECOMMENDATION: Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval							
Staff: Approval							
Stall. F	ърргочаг						
DACK		IMENTATION.					
	UP DUC	<u>UMENTATION:</u>					
Map		1					
Resolut	ion No. R-	45-2001					
MOTION:							
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD							
abstain	ing on Ite	em 81, Sportspark,	and MACI	<b>X</b> abstaining	on Items	34, 35, 36, 37, and 38	
because	because they all concern his brother's business						
	•						

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001						
DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS						
DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE X CONSENT DISCUSSION						
SUBJECT:						
RESOLUTIONS:						
<b>R-46-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution amending Schedule 25-II to change the speed limit from 25						
mph to 35 mph on Farm Road between Durango Drive and Buffalo Drive - Ward 6 (Mack)						
<u>Fiscal Impact</u>						
X No Impact Amount:						
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering						
Augmentation Required Funding Source:						
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:						
Speed studies conducted show that the 85th percentile speed on this section of roadway is 45 mph;						
however, since it is a minor arterial with an 80-foot right-of-way, a speed limit of 35mph would be						
appropriate and would conform to other 35 mph minor arterials within the City.						
RECOMMENDATION:						
Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval						
Staff: Approval						
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:						
Map						
Resolution No. R-46-2001						
MOTION:						
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD						
abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38						

### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

because they all concern his brother's business



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001			
DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS			
DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECH	KE X CONSENT DISCUSSION		
SUBJECT:			
RESOLUTIONS:			
R-47-2001 - Approval of a Resolution ame	ending Schedule 25-II to change the speed limit from 25		
**	Sky Pointe Drive and Farm Road - Ward 6 (Mack)		
1			
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact	Amount:		
Budget Funds Available			
	Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering		
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:		
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
*	percentile speed on this section of roadway is 45 mph;		
	80-foot right-of-way, a speed limit of 35 mph would be		
appropriate and would conform to other 35	mph minor arterials within the City.		
RECOMMENDATION:			
Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval			
Staff: Approval			
DACKUR DOCUMENTATION:			
Mars			
Map			
Resolution No. R-47-2001			
MOTION:			
	2 12 and 14 92 LINANIMOLIC with M. McDONALD		
REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38			
because they all concern his brother's b			
because they an concern his brother s b	usincss		

### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



DFPΔ	RTMENT	: PUBLIC WORKS		,		
	TOR:		KE	X CONSENT		DISCUSSION
		111011/1110 21 0020		X GONOLIN		
SUBJ	ECT:					
	LUTIONS:					
RESOL	20110115.					
R-48-2	.001 - Appr	oval of a Resolution am	ending Sched	lule 25-III to change	the spee	d limit from 25
		a uniform 40 mph on 1	_	_	-	
-	-	d 6 (Mack)		between Deer Spini	50 <b>11 ay a</b>	ara winspering
Surius I	)	d o (Mack)				
Fiscal	Impact					
X	No Impa	act	Amount:			
^	•			DIVID CC I		
	•	Funds Available		ision: PW/Traffic I	ingineeri	ng
	Augmer	ntation Required	Funding	Source:		
		CKGROUND:				
		falo Drive has a 100-foo				
	•	es conducted show that	-	*		f roadway is 42
mph, so	a 40 mph	speed limit would be ap	propriate for	this stretch of roadw	ay.	
	MMENDA					
	_	Commission: Approva	ıl			
Staff: Approval						
	UP DOC	JMENTATION:				
Map						
Resolut	ion No. R-4	48-2001				
MOTIC	<b></b>					
MOTIC		4 ADDD OVE I	2.12 1.14		IG 141	M M DOMALD
		to APPROVE Items				
	O	em 81, Sportspark, a		ibstaining on Iten	is 34, 35	5, 56, 57, and 38
because they all concern his brother's business						
MINUTES:						
		ed discussion.				



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001			
DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS			
DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE X CONSENT DISCUSSION			
SUBJECT:			
RESOLUTIONS:			
<b>R-49-2001</b> - Approval of a Resolution amending Schedule 26-II to make the alley one-way northbound from Las Vegas Boulevard to 6th Street between Mesquite Avenue and Stewart Avenue - Ward 3 (Reese)			
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact Amount:			
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering			
Augmentation Required Funding Source:			
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
Staff has received a request to make the alley one-way from Las Vegas Boulevard to 6th Street			
between Mesquite Avenue and Stewart Avenue. The traffic in this alley has increased in volume, and			
two-way traffic is not feasible as a result. Staff is recommending the alley be made one-way			
northbound.			
RECOMMENDATION:			
Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval			
Staff: Approval			

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

Resolution No. R-49-2001

### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 16, 2001			
DEPAI	RTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS		
DIREC'	TOR: RICHARD D. GOEC	KE X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
<b>SUBJE</b>	ECT:		
REPOR	T FROM REAL ESTATE COMN	IITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly	
Approva	al of a Bill of Sale from the City of	Las Vegas to the Las Vegas Valley Water District for the	
purpose	s of providing water services locate	ed at 1400 North Rampart Boulevard - Ward 2 (L.B.	
McDona	ald)		
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>		
X	No Impact	Amount:	
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate	
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:	

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

LVVWD inspected the water distribution facilities located at 1400 North Rampart Boulevard, which are to be owned, operated & maintained by LVVWD. The inspection determined that said facilities have been installed in accordance with LVVWD Standards & Specifications. Therefore, the water facilities constructed for the CLV have been accepted by LVVWD as being satisfactorily completed on 2/5/01 and CLV now turns over the property to LVVWD.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The 4/16/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Bill of Sale
- 2. LVVWD 2/22/01 Letter

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### **MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.



DEPA	RTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS		
DIREC'	TOR: RICHARD D. GOECI	KE X CONSENT DISCUSSION	
0115.15			
SUBJE	<u>=C1:</u>		
<b>REPOR</b>	T FROM REAL ESTATE COMM	IITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly	
<b>Approva</b>	al to relinquish 10 of the 35 acres of	Fland, near Durango Drive and Edna Avenue, currently	
under le	ase for right-of-way purposes (at no	o cost) from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in	
favor of the Polish American Social Club of Las Vegas, Inc (County)			
14 / 01 01		This regul, me. (County)	
<b>Fiscal</b>	<u>Impact</u>		
X	No Impact	Amount:	
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate	
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:	

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On October 13, 2000, the Polish American Social Club of Las Vegas, Inc. (PASCLVI) sent the City of Las Vegas (City) a letter requesting that the City relinquishes a portion of land that the City currently has leased from the BLM for right-of-way purposes. PASCLVI wishes to use the site to construct a Polish American Cultural Center for public purpose: educational, recreational, classrooms and a small museum. Flood control has assured the City that they no longer require this land for right-of-way purpose, as stated in a letter dated 2001 and attached as backup.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The 4/16/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the relinquishment of land

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Letter to BLM requesting relinquishment of land
- 2. Memo from Flood Control
- 3. Disclosure
- 4. Site Map
- 5. IRS Tax Exempt Status

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-12 and 14-82 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 81, Sportspark, and MACK abstaining on Items 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 because they all concern his brother's business

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18 2001

OH I GOOMOL MEETING OF. AFRICA 10, 2001			
DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE CONSENT X DISCUSSION			
SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE:			
Report and possible action concerning the status of 2001 legislative issues and take any necessary action			
Fiscal Impact         X       No Impact       Amount:         Budget Funds Available       Dept./Division:         Augmentation Required       Funding Source:			
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: Staff will report on pending legislation of the 2001 Nevada State Legislature.			
RECOMMENDATION: It is recommended that council accept report and direct staff, if necessary.			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: None			
MOTION: REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS			
MINUTES:  NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.			

### APPEARANCES:

BETSY FRETWELL, Assistant City Manager

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD urged the City Manager and the lobbying team to educate the people at the Legislature to try to help them see the obligations that the City of Las Vegas faces when it comes to providing services and the impact that taking monies away from the City, as suggested under the Motor Vehicle Privilege Tax Bill, would have on the City in meeting those obligations.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed the City Manager staff to draft an information letter to send to the public regarding legislative issues that would greatly impact the City of Las Vegas. COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested that it be kept simple for better comprehension.

(10:53 – 11:17) **2-2900/3-1** 

Agenda Item No. 87

City of Las Vegas

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

311 1 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	01 . 7 (1 TKIE 10) E00 1		
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY			
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC	CONSENT X DISCUSSION		
SUBJECT:			
Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Care	d Denial: Wendy Anne Carrillo, 5400 South		
Maryland Parkway #16, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119			
Figure			
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact Amount:			
Budget Funds Available Dept./Div	/ision:		
Augmentation Required Funding	Source:		
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
RECOMMENDATION:			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:			
Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant			
Appenant Letter of Appear and City Clerk Notification	Letter to Appenant		
MOTION:			
REESE – ABEYANCE to 5/2/2001 - UNANIMOUS			
	~		
MINUTES:			
The appellant was present.			

SERGEANT PAUL PAGE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, indicated that MS. CARRILLO was denied a work card for crimes of morale turpitude that occurred in 1999. He assured MAYOR GOODMAN that a letter was not received from MS. CARRILLOS's employer. A letter was supposed to be faxed over, but that has not yet occurred. MS. CARRILLO commented that she spoke with the manager, who was supposed to prepare a letter and send it over. She is on temporary leave until she can obtain a work card.

MAYOR GOODMAN advised MS. CARRILLO to obtain a letter from her manager detailing awareness of her criminal background and willingness to hire her despite that.

There was no further discussion.

(11:17 - 11:19)

Agenda Item No. 88

City of Las Vegas

# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

<b>DEPA</b>	RTMENT	: CITY ATTORNEY					
DIREC	TOR:	BRADFORD R. JER	RBIC		CONSENT	X DISCUSSION	
	ion and pos	ssible action on Appeal , Nevada 89107	of Work Ca	ard Denial	l: Phillip Avior	n McGregor, 6109 Pawn	
Fiscal	Impact						
X	No Impa	act	Amoun	t:			
	Budget	Funds Available	Dept./D	ivision:			
	Augmei	ntation Required	Funding	g Sourc	e:		
<u>PURP</u>	OSE/BA(	CKGROUND:					
RECO	MMENDA	ATION:					
		UMENTATION: f Appeal and City Clerk	Notification	n Letter to	o Appellant		
MOTIC REESE		ANCE to 5/2/2001 - U	NANIMO	US			
MINUThe app	TES:  pellant was	present.					
McGRI years. I been re	EGOR was He spoke to ceived. MI	denied a work card ba MR. McGREGOR'S	employer,	e different who was	moral turpitude supposed to f	ment, stated that MR le crimes in the past five ax a letter, but it has no record and gave it to his	e t
MAYO	R GOODI	MAN encouraged MR.	McGREGO	OR to obta	ain a letter.		
There w	vas no furth	er discussion.					
			(11:19 - 1)	11:21)			



DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY			
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC CONSENT X DISCUSSION			
SUBJECT: Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Jennifer Prince, 100 Azalea Circle, Las Vegas, Nevada 89107			
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact Amount:			
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division:			
Augmentation Required Funding Source:			
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
RECOMMENDATION:			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant			
MOTION: REESE – Motion to STRIKE - UNANIMOUS			
MINUTES: Since the appellant was not present, COUNCILMAN REESE motioned to strike.			
SERGEANT PAUL PAGE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, was present.			
There was no further discussion.			
(11:21)			
3-454			



### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES** Χ DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT CONSENT **DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Citystop VII, LLC, dba City Stop, 7591 North Cimarron Road, City Stop, Inc., Mgng Mmbr, 35%, Bruce I. Familian, Dir, Pres, 69%, Rory L. Bedore, Dir, Secy, 18%, Jon M. Athey, Treas, 13% -Ward 6 (Mack) Fiscal Impact X No Impact Amount: **Budget Funds Available** Dept./Division: **Augmentation Required Funding Source:** PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License **RECOMMENDATION:** Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:** Agenda Memo Map **MOTION:** MACK – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS MINUTES: ATTORNEY SCOTT EATON, Lionel, Sawyer, and Collins, appeared with and representing JON ATHEY. JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicant met all of the requirements for a temporary license. He recommended approval. There was no further discussion.

(11:21 - 11:23)



DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BU	JSINESS SE	RVICES	
DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT		CONSENT	X DISCUSSION
SUBJECT: Discussion and possible action regarding To License, Marshall Management Company, Marshall, Dir, Pres, Treas, 70%, Cari Marshall, Dir, Pres, Treas,	, dba California	a Gift Shop, 12 East	Ogden Ave., Todd
Fiscal Impact			
X No Impact	Amount:		
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Divis	sion:	
Augmentation Required	Funding S	ource:	
Discussion and possible action regarding To License  RECOMMENDATION: Recommend approval  BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: Letter from Alicia Ashcraft, Esq. Map	emporary App	roval of a new Gift S	hop Limited Liquor
MOTION: WEEKLY – APPROVED as recomme his personal and business relationship			ACK abstaining due to
MINUTES: ATTORNEY WILLIAM URGA, Jolley, UTODD MARSHALL.	Urga, Wirth, a	nd Woodbury; appea	ared with and representing
JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Service license. He recommended approval.	es, stated that	the applicant met th	e criteria for a temporary
There was no further discussion.			



### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES** X DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT CONSENT **DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Gift Shop Limited Liquor License, Marshall Management Company, dba The Company Store, 200 North Main Street, Todd Marshall, Dir, Pres, Treas, 70%, Cari Marshall, Dir, Secy, 30% - Ward 5 (Weekly) Fiscal Impact X No Impact **Amount: Budget Funds Available** Dept./Division: **Augmentation Required Funding Source:** PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Gift Shop Limited Liquor License **RECOMMENDATION:** Recommend approval **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:** Letter from Alicia Ashcraft, Esq. Map **MOTION:** WEEKLY - APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to his personal and business relationship with MR. MARSHALL **MINUTES:** ATTORNEY WILLIAM URGA, Jolley, Urga, Wirth, and Woodbury; appeared with and representing TODD MARSHALL. NOTE: See related Item 88 for discussion.

> (11:23 – 11:25) **3-513**

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES** X DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT CONSENT **DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Gift Shop Limited Liquor License, Marshall Management Company, dba Fremont Gift Shop, 200 Fremont Street, Todd Marshall, Dir, Pres, Treas, 70%, Cari Marshall, Dir, Secy, 30% - Ward 5 (Weekly) Fiscal Impact X No Impact **Amount: Budget Funds Available** Dept./Division: **Augmentation Required Funding Source:** PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Gift Shop Limited Liquor License **RECOMMENDATION:** Recommend approval **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:** Letter from Alicia Ashcraft, Esq. Map **MOTION:** WEEKLY - APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to his personal and business relationship with MR. MARSHALL **MINUTES:** ATTORNEY WILLIAM URGA, Jolley, Urga, Wirth, and Woodbury; appeared with and representing TODD MARSHALL. NOTE: See related Item 88 for discussion.



### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES** Χ DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT CONSENT **DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: Discussion and possible action regarding a Three Month Review of a Teenage Dance License, The Lenz Group, Inc., dba The Lenz Group, Inc., 1208 East Charleston Blvd., Richard L. Lenz, Jr., Dir, Pres, Treas, 50%, Tamara L. Lenz, Dir, Secy, 50% - Ward 3 (Reese) **Fiscal Impact** X No Impact Amount: **Budget Funds Available** Dept./Division: **Funding Source: Augmentation Required** 

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Three Month Review of a Teenage Dance License

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommendation to be provided following the investigative report by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo

Submitted after the meeting: Memo from Mr. DiFiore and a letter from Mr. Lenz

#### **MOTION:**

**REESE** – **APPROVED** as recommended by staff with the following additional five conditions:

- 1) Advertising for events at the Huntridge must clearly depict a teenage dance, a concert, or other activity approved to take place;
- 2) No teenage dance may occur at The Sanctuary unless or until it is properly licensed by the City to hold such an event;
- 3) No storage of alcoholic beverages shall be permitted on-site at the Huntridge or The Sanctuary, with the exception of the property where the licensed or permitted event is scheduled to take place, but only three hours before the event and no later than until 10:00 a.m. the day following the event;
- 4) Maintain compliance with all business licensing, liquor licensing, and police codes at all times for both the Huntridge and The Sanctuary locations; and
- 5) A 90-day review on the license.

#### **UNANIMOUS**

## City of Las Vegas

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting the Lenz Group surrendered its teenage license; therefore, the 90-day review set for 7/18/2001 will not take place.

## City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 91 – Three-month review of a Teenage Dance License for The Lenze Group, Inc.

### **MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

#### APPEARANCES:

ATTORNEY MARK HARRISON, Hutchison & Stephen, representing MR. and MRS. LENZ TAMARA LENZ RICHARD LENZ

(11:25 - 11:37)

3-585



DEPAI	RTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS	
DIREC	TOR: RICHARD D. GOECH	KE CONSENT X DISCUSSION
<u>SUBJE</u>	ECT:	
Discussi	ion and possible action to direct staff	f with regard to the possible demolition or removal of the
house at	t 1651 South Buffalo Drive (house s	situated on the Buffalo park site) (\$0-\$20,000 - Capital
Improve	ement Fund) - Ward 1 (M. McDona	ald)
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>	
	No Impact	<b>Amount:</b> Ranges from none to \$20,000
X	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: Capital Improvement Fund

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Previously, Council has given Staff authority to negotiate leases with either or both the Pop Warner Football League and the Nevada Youth Football League for the use of "Buffalo House". The Pop Warner League was receptive at one time to a lease arrangement but has since sent a letter stating they are no longer interested. Nevada Youth League is still, however, talking with Staff about lease terms. Neighborhood residents are voicing concerns that unless the house is used only by the City that it would become a nuisance to the neighborhood. Their concerns seem to center around long term appearance and maintenance.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council direct staff regarding removal of the Buffalo house.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Vicinity Map showing house location and park boundaries Transcript of January 3, 2001 City Council

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 92, 105, and 106 to 5/2/2001 – UNANIMOUS

#### MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: DIRECTOR:	BUSINESS DEVEL LESA CODER	LOPMENT	CONSENT	X DISCUSSIO	N
SUBJECT: RESOLUTIONS:					
<b>R-50-2001</b> – Discussion and possible action regarding a resolution consenting to certain undertakings of the City of Las Vegas Redevelopment Agency in connection with the Disposition and Development Agreement with WLVD, LLC for the development of the property commonly known as "Site Parcel B" located at the southwest corner of Owens Avenue and H Street (APN 139-28-503-017 and APN 139-28-503-005) – Ward 5 (Weekly) [NOTE: THIS ITEM IS RELATED TO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY ITEM A]				t B"	
Fiscal Impact					
X No Impa	ct	Amount:			
	Funds Available	Dept./Division			
Augmen	tation Required	Funding So	urce:		
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:  The Development Company WLVD, LLC have procured commitments from future tenants for the development of the 11.1-acre parcel commonly known as Site Parcel "B" located at Owens Ave. and H Street. It is the intent of the developer to build a minimum of 75,000 square feet of commercial retail facilities consisting of in-line store structures and some mixed pad uses with frontage on Owens Avenue. The Development will complete the shopping center know as Westland Plaza Mall.					
RECOMMENDA Approval	TION:				
Agenda Memo Resolution No. R-5 Submitted at the me		a Coder outlining	g the major points	of this endeavor	
MOTION: WEEKLY – APPE	ROVED as recommen	ded - UNANIM	IOUS		
MINUTES:					

MICHAEL McCRAY, 1331 Ralston Drive, WLVD, LLC, was present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Business Development Item 93 – R-50-2001

#### **MINUTES - Continued:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, submitted a document outlining the major points of the agreement. She clarified that approval of the subject resolution would grant consent to enter into the undertakings contained within the Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA). She listed the following minor clean-up amendments to the Agreement: delete the second Nucleus Plaza, CDC, as written in the DDA, Page 3, Paragraph 2, Line 4; list John Edmond as the managing member of WLVD, LLC, as referenced on Page 3, Paragraph 2, Line 6, list Matthews Title as director of KSJ Development, as listed on Page 3, Paragraph 2, Line 7; and Attachment B, Item No. 9 should list the submission date of plans and drawings to be no later than July 10, 2001. She recommended approval.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that the City is entering into this endeavor with expectations that there will be development in this area of the community, which feels that it has been slighted. In his opinion, the City should be commended for its good faith efforts to give that community the opportunity to have a first-class business development.

MS. CODER recommended that, if approved, an item be placed on the May 2, 2001, Council Agenda to waive the prior letter of credit requirement regarding the Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) for site Parcel A, and to bring forward a request to sell site Parcel A to WLVD, LLC, prior to the ten-year limitation contained in that agreement.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD questioned the disclosure statement for this matter, stating that it lists the members of WLVD, LLC, but no indication of who the partners are. MS. CODER advised that the first page of Exhibit B outlines the people that make up WLVD, LLC. It shows the principals that do transactions. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE interjected that companion Item No. 100 under the Real Estate portion of the Council includes the disclosure statements as exhibits to the agreement.

MS. CODER commented that the DDA for this property anticipates over 75,000 square feet of development. In turn, the site will be donated for \$1, with a required \$35,000 good faith deposit. Construction is expected to commence within 120 days after closing on the property and to be completed within 14 months. Staff feels that the time schedule is realistic and that the incentive for the donation of the property is appropriate in this area.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if the DDA includes a time frame for the Parcel B property to revert back to the City of Las Vegas should development not occur. MS. CODER indicated that such a clause is typical for all agreements. The time frame to commence construction is 90 days.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Business Development Item 93 – R-50-2001

### **MINUTES - Continued:**

Should construction not commence within that time frame, the agreement would then be voided, and it would be at the discretion of the City Council to determine whether or not to recover the property and take it back for the asset given plus the cost of any improvements.

See related Item 100 and Redevelopment Agency Item IV-A for other discussion.

(11:37 - 11:45)

3-1016



DEPAR DIREC	RTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY TOR: BRADFORD R. JERI	BIC CONSENT X DISCUSSION			
SUBJECT: RESOLUTIONS:					
	001 - Discussion and possible action Impact	n on a Resolution in Opposition to Assembly Bill 653			
X	No Impact Budget Funds Available Augmentation Required	Amount: Dept./Division: Funding Source:			

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Assembly Bill 653 has been introduced in the Nevada Legislature. The bill would revise the consolidated tax formula that provides for the distribution of certain revenues among local governments and would adjust the base allocation of Las Vegas, Clark County and Henderson. The revised distribution and allocation would have a significant negative financial impact on the City of Las Vegas, both immediately and in the long term. This Resolution is intended to express the City Council's strong opposition to Assembly Bill 653.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-51-2001 Assembly Bill 653

#### **MOTION:**

GOODMAN – Motion to ADOPT R-51-2001 and direct staff to disseminate R-51-2001 to all legislative members, including a copy of DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS' comments explaining the Consolidated Tax Formula, and that the letter written to Speaker Richard Perkins be disseminated to all elected legislators from Las Vegas and Clark County - UNANIMOUS

#### **MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

#### **APPEARANCES:**

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager STEVE HOUCHENS, Deputy City Manager

(9:12 – 9:25) **1-153** 



DEPAI DIREC	RTMENT: CITY CLERK TOR: BARBARA JO (RON	) RONEMUS CONSENT	X DISCUSSION			
	SUBJECT:					
	OS & COMMISSIONS:					
		ARK COUNTY LIBRARY DISTRI				
TRUST	EES – Melvin Pohl, Term Expiratio	n 4-7-2001; Moises Denis, Term Exp	piration 4-7-2001;			
Yorbali	nda Penton-Dougan, Term Expiration	on 4-7-2001				
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>					
X	No Impact	Amount:				
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division:				
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:				

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The terms of office for three of the City's five members on the Las Vegas-Clark County Library District Board of Trustees will be expiring April 7, 2001. Terms of office are for four years and members are limited to two terms. Dr. Melvin Pohl has indicated he would like to be reap-pointed and he is eligible for reappointment. Mr. Denis and Ms. Penton-Dougan have served two terms and are not eligible for reappointment. Membership on this Board requires City residency and filing of City and State Financial Disclosure. At the City Council Meeting of April 4, Mayor Goodman requested that this item be abeyed to the Council Meeting of April 18, 2001. Additionally, on April 6, 2001, a Board Interest form was received from Dan W. Carter, Sr.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. Options are to reappoint Dr. Pohl OR to fill this seat with a new member. As to the seats being vacated by Mr. Denis and Ms. Penton-Dougan, it will be necessary to appoint new members to these seats.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Letter from Executive Director Daniel Walters indicating Dr. Pohl's interest in reappointment Memorandum from Councilwoman Boggs McDonald recommending Nikki Sobkowski Resume of Nikki Sobkowski

Memorandum from Councilman Mack recommending Debbie Ann Page-Kinsora

Resume of Debbie Ann Page-Kinsora

Memorandum from Councilman Reese recommending Andrea Arthurholtz along with her letter of interest and resume

Current listing and Authority-Las Vegas-Clark County Library District Board of Trustees Board Interest Form-Dan W. Carter, Sr.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Boards and Commissions Item 95 – Las Vegas-Clark County Library District Board of Trustees

#### **MOTION:**

GOODMAN – Motion to REAPPOINT: DR. MELVIN POHL (Goodman's recommendation) and APPOINT: ANDREA ARTHURHOLTZ (Reese's recommendation), 1905 S. 16<sup>th</sup> Street, Las Vegas, NV 89104, and NIKKI SOBKOWSKI (L.B. McDonald's recommendation), 600 Silver Grove Street, Las Vegas, NV 89144 - UNANIMOUS

#### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN thanked all of the people that have served on the subject board. He stated that there have been some wonderful applicants that have expressed an interest to serve on various City boards. As far as the Library District Board, he commended the City Council for working together. He explained that he inadvertently committed himself to three appointments for two positions. However, COUNCILMAN MACK was kind enough to withdraw his recommendation, indicating that he had spoken with the candidate and she was willing to serve in another capacity.

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked MR. DENIS for the wonderful job he did while serving on this board.

There was no further discussion.

(11:45 – 11:49) **3-1293** 



N

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 1993, the previous Ethics Committee was abolished and a new Ethics Review Board was established consisting of five members, terms of four years and no limit to the number of terms which may be served. Council members from Wards 1 through 4 shall appoint one person to the Board with the Mayor designating the Chair subject to confirmation by a majority of the City Council. Earle White, appointed in 1997 by Mayor Jones, has served as Chair and Robert Fleming, appointed in 1997 by Councilman Reese, has served as Vice Chair of this Board. Ward 4 Councilman Callister appointed Linda Young in 1997. Mr. White, Mr. Fleming and Ms. Young are eligible for reappointment. At the April 4 Council Meeting, Mayor Goodman requested abeyance of Mr. White and Mr. Fleming's seats and Councilman Brown requested abeyance of Ms. Young's seat until the meeting of April 18, 2001

#### RECOMMENDATION:

Options are:

Mayor Goodman to reappoint Mr. White OR appoint another citizen as Chairman; Councilman Reese to reappoint Mr. Fleming OR appoint another citizen as member; Councilman Brown to reappoint Ms. Young OR appoint another citizen as member.

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Current Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – ABEYANCE to 5/2/2001 - UNANIMOUS

#### MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that he spoke with JUDGE EARL WHITE and shared an appreciation for the Ethics Review Board, but also a concern, which he feels is shared by all of

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Boards and Commissions Item 96 – Ethics Review Board

#### **MINUTES – Continued:**

the Council members because they have all been before the Ethics Review Board, that there is an inconsistency as far as how the State handles their ethics matters and perhaps one commission may be more compliant with due process rights and protection for individuals. He preferred to hold the matter in abeyance.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stressed that if the City's Ethics Review Board is to continue, then it has to ensure due process to all and not just make accusations without the accused being able to respond in their defense.

AL GALLEGO, citizen of Las Vegas, questioned how a person could remain on the board even if the term has expired. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC replied that the appointment continues until a replacement is appointed, unless NRS provides for specific expiration. MR. GALLEGO pointed out that there was going to be a meeting of the Ethics Board the following day and felt that the two members that are due for reappointment should not be allowed to sit on the board until they are reappointed.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to speak with the individual Council members to evaluate whether they want to continue with the City Ethics Board and how to make it more effective, or whether it should be disbanded and forward all ethics matters to the State Ethics Commission for review.

There was no further discussion.

(11:49 - 11:53)

3-1438



DEPAI DIREC	RTMENT: CITY CLERK TOR: BARBARA JO (RON	NI) RONEMUS CONSENT X DISCUSSION
SUBJE Boari	ECT: DS & COMMISSIONS:	
	*	ng the membership of the Real Estate Committee to include an Alternate and the appointment thereof
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>	
X	No Impact	Amount:
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division:
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On March 7, 1990, the Las Vegas City Council adopted a Real Estate Policy entitled the Property Disposition Policy. In this policy, there was established "...a standing Real Estate Committee consisting of two (2) elected Councilmen...." On January 19, 2000, Councilmen Weekly and Mack were appointed by the Mayor, with unanimous concurrence of Council, as the two representatives to this Committee. On October 18, 2000, Councilwoman Boggs McDonald was appointed as an alternate to this Committee.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- 1. That the Property Disposition Policy be amended to read, "There shall be a standing Real Estate Committee consisting of two (2) members of Council, and two (2) members of Council to act as alternates...."
- 2. Appointment by the Mayor, with concurrence by the Council, of a member of Council to serve as a second Alternate on the Real Estate Committee.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

#### **MOTION:**

GOODMAN – Motion to APPOINT COUNCILMAN REESE as the second alternate - UNANIMOUS

#### MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE stated that it is necessary to have two members present at the meetings who can participate in the discussions and vote.

There was no further discussion.

(11:53 – 11:55) **3-1610** 



DEPAI DIREC	RTMENT: CITY CLERK TOR: BARBARA JO (RON	I) RONEMUS CONSENT X DISCUSSION
SUBJE BOARI	ECT: DS & COMMISSIONS:	
Reappoi Year 20	11	ers to the Neighborhood Partners Fund Board for Fiscal
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>	
X	No Impact	Amount:
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division:
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Neighborhood Partners Fund Board consists of 13 members and was created to review Neighborhood Partners Fund applications and recommend awards to the City Council. This Board is being reconvened to review these applications and select the recipients for FY 2002. Terms of office are one year (June 22 through June 22 of the following year) and members may be reappointed to consecutive one-year terms.

#### RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board is appointment by Mayor and each member of Council. The Department of Neighborhood Services recommends the following reappointments:

Mayor or his designee - Stephanie Boixo; Ward 1 Staff Designee - Doug Rankin; Ward 1 Neighborhood Representative - Don Egbert; Ward 3 Staff Designee - Loretta Arrington; Ward 3 Neighborhood Representative - Earl White; Ward 4 Staff Designee - Eric Dornak; Ward 4 Neighborhood Representative - Tony Bruges; Ward 5 Neighborhood Representative - Tyrone Thompson;

#### And the following <u>new appointments</u>:

Ward 2 Staff Designee – Larry Harala; Ward 2 Neighborhood Representative – Mary Huntington; Ward 5 Staff Designee – Maria Marinch; Ward 6 Staff Designee – Anthony Longo; Ward 6 Neighborhood Representative – Melody Barrie

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo listing the Department of Neighborhood Services' recommendations Current Listing and Authority-Neighborhood Partners Fund Board

#### MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the recommended appointments and reappointments, substituting Ward 2 staff designee Larry Harala with Sonya Douglass as recommended – UNANIMOUS

### City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Boards and Commissions Item 98 – Neighborhood Partners Fund Board for FY 2002

### **MOTION - Continued:**

Clerk to notify

### **MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(11:55 - 11:57)

3-1681



<b>DEPAI</b>	RTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD	SERVICES		
DIREC	TOR: SHARON SEGERBL	.OM	CONSENT	X DISCUSSION
SUBJE	ECT:			
	<del>-01.</del> T FROM REAL ESTATE COMM	HTTEE Councilm	on Mook and W	Joolsky
KEPUK	I FROM REAL ESTATE COMIV.	III IEE - Councilli	en wack and w	eckly
Discussi	ion and possible action on the Secon	nd Amendment to tl	ne Operational A	Agreement between the
	Las Vegas and S.V.d.P. Manageme			C
•	Ward 5 (Weekly)	,	,	
	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
<b>Fiscal</b>	<u>Impact</u>			
X	No Impact	Amount:		
	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	Dept./Division:		
	Augmentation Required	Funding Source	e:	
	•	•		

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On December 6, 1995, the City of Las Vegas City Council approved the Operational Agreement of Las Vegas Village (Agreement) between the City of Las Vegas and S.V.d.P.Management, Inc. On June 19, 1996, the City Council approved an amendment to the aforementioned agreement to reflect language which allowed S.V.d.P. to apply for a Supportive Housing Program (SHP) grant. The second amendment is to revise language in the original agreement under Article 6.1 to allow S.V.d.P. to use both permanent improvement funding and program funding to meet the \$5,000,000 match the City required to be able to sell them the property.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The 4/16/2001 Real Estate Committee referred this item to Full Council for discussion. Staff recommends approval of amending the Second Amendment to the Operational Agreement of Las Vegas Village to amend the original match requirement.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Second Amendment to Operational Agreement of Las Vegas Village

#### MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 5/2/2001 to allow for serious discussions in the interim – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because he is an Advisory Board Member of M.A.S.H.

#### **MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

#### **APPEARANCES:**

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department BILL ARENT, Neighborhood Services Department

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001

Real Estate Committee

Item 99 – Discussion and possible action on the second Amendment to the Operational Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and S.V.d.P. Management, Inc.

#### APPEARANCES – Continued:

STEVE HOUCHENS, Deputy City Manager

CARLA PEREZ, Chairman of the Board of Directors for M.A.S.H. Village and CEO and Managing Director for Desert Springs Hospital

MICHAEL TELL, Editor/Publisher of the Las Vegas Israelite Newspaper and Board Member of M.A.S.H. Village

BILL HOUDEN, Vice Chairman of Program Services for M.A.S.H. Village and small business owner DAWN HATHAWAY, Vice President for Manpower Professional and Board Member of M.A.S.H. Village

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

TERI PONTICELLO, Deputy City Attorney

ARVIN MENNEN, Vice Chair of the Finance Committee of the M.A.S.H Village and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Nevada First Bank

DOUGLAS BELL, Manager of Community Resources for Clark County

RUTH BRULAND, Executive Director of M.A.S.H. Village

AL GALLEGO, citizen of Las Vegas

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN requested the following: 1) transcript of the public record of five years ago when the contract was originally entered into; 2) history of the new board and why it was established; 3) whether the \$2 million capital investment at M.A.S.H. is permanent or temporary; and 4) clarification from the City or County regarding any possible policy statement from grant foundations as it relates to their requirement of ownership of land versus a long-term lease when awarding grants.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and MAYOR GOODMAN requested that they be included in any of the meetings with M.A.S.H. on this issue.

(11:57 - 12:48)

3-1744/4-1



### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

	CITT COUNCI	L MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001				
DEPARTMENT: OFFICE OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT						
DIRECTOR	: LESA CODER	CONSENT X DISCUSSION				
SUBJECT						
REPORT FR	OM REAL ESTATE COM	IMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly				
Discussion a	nd nossible action regarding	approval of the Purchase and Sale Agreement between the				
		the 10 acre commercial parcel located at the southwest corner				
•	•	*				
	· ·	oulevard in the Enterprise Park (Gain of \$3,049,200 -				
Industrial Rev	venue Fund) - Ward 5 (Wee	ekly)				
		• /				
Fiscal Impa	act					
Fiscal Impa		<b>Amount:</b> Gain of \$3,049,200				
No No	<u>act</u> Impact dget Funds Available					
No Buc	Impact dget Funds Available	Amount: Gain of \$3,049,200  Dept./Division: Business Development/Economic				
No Buc	Impact	<b>Amount:</b> Gain of \$3,049,200				
No Bud	Impact dget Funds Available	Amount: Gain of \$3,049,200  Dept./Division: Business Development/Economic				
No Bud	Impact dget Funds Available gmentation Required /BACKGROUND:	Amount: Gain of \$3,049,200  Dept./Division: Business Development/Economic Funding Source: Industrial Revenue Fund				
No Bud Aug	Impact dget Funds Available gmentation Required /BACKGROUND: C will purchase the 10 acre c	Amount: Gain of \$3,049,200  Dept./Division: Business Development/Economic Funding Source: Industrial Revenue Fund  commercial parcel located at the Enterprise Park for the				
No Bud Aug	Impact dget Funds Available gmentation Required /BACKGROUND: C will purchase the 10 acre c	Amount: Gain of \$3,049,200  Dept./Division: Business Development/Economic Funding Source: Industrial Revenue Fund  commercial parcel located at the Enterprise Park for the oping center. WLVD, LLC will purchase the parcel to develop				

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The 4/16/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Purchase and Sale Agreement

Legal Description

Submitted at the meeting: document describing the major points of the agreement by Lesa Coder

#### MOTION:

#### WEEKLY - APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY disclosed that he registered his son for Community Little League Baseball and his son was selected to play on JOHN EDMOND'S team; therefore, he asked CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC if he would have to abstain. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that there would not be a conflict, but would be prudent to make disclosure.

#### MINUTES:

MICHAEL McCRAY, 1331 Ralston Drive, WLVD, LLC, concurred with the recommendations of staff.

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, indicated that the correct gain amount should be \$2,656,724.40. The matter is also related to Parcel B at Avenue H and Owens.

## City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001

Real Estate Committee

Item 100 – Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and WLVD, LLC, for a ten-acre parcel in the Enterprise Park

#### **MINUTES – Continued:**

The sale price is \$6 a square foot, which is reasonable. Construction for the approximate 100,000 square feet of office and retail space is to commence within 14 months and is to be completed within 18 months. She added that Exhibit F has the same disclosures as Item 93 and would be on Redevelopment Agency Item IV-A.

MAYOR GOODMAN clarified that the transactions for Items 93 and 100 are companion items so that no one misinterprets that the City is giving a valuable piece of land for \$1 and receiving nothing in return. The City is depending on the development of the respective properties to infuse a new type of synergy into the economy in that segment of the community.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that the endeavor is the result of the Request for Proposal (RFP) process. MS. CODER further explained that in early October RFP's were sent to approximately ten companies. Since WLVD, LLC, was the only respondent, it only made sense to try to enter into an agreement.

JOHN EDMOND, WLVD, LLC, thanked the Council for its time and efforts, especially COUNCILMAN WEEKLY, and for having faith in what WLVD, LLC, is trying to accomplish in the subject community. MAYOR GOODMAN wished him well.

See related Item 93 and Redevelopment Agency Item IV-A for other discussion.

(12:48 - 12:54)

DEPARTMENT	: CITY ATTORNEY			
DIRECTOR:	BRADFORD R. JER	BIC	CONSENT	X DISCUSSION
SUBJECT: RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:				
	<ul> <li>Ordinance Creating Sp</li> <li>Hualapai Way to Jensor</li> </ul>	•		
Fiscal Impact				
No Impa	act	<b>Amount:</b> \$ 101,	170.79	
Budget	Funds Available	Dept./Division:	PW/SID	
X Augmei	ntation Required	Funding Source Assessments	e: Capital Proj	jects Fund - Special
PURPOSE/BAC	CKGROUND: pavement, continuous left	t turn lana "I " tyna	ourh and outtor	sidowalks commoraial
	n, sewer laterals, and stre	• •	curb and guner,	, sidewaiks, commerciai
ADOPTION at 4/1 Committee.	ATION: 18/2001 City Council me	eting as pursuant to	the 4/2/2001 R	Recommending
Committee: Counc	cilmen Reese and Weekly	y; First Reading – 3/	/21/2001; First	Publication – 4/7/2001
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: Bill No. 2001-31				
MOTION: REESE – Second UNANIMOUS	d Reading and BILL	ADOPTED as rec	ommended as	s Ordinance No. 5317 -
MINUTES: There was no discu	ussion.			

City of Las Vegas

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTME	NT: CITY ATTORNEY					
DIRECTOR:	BRADFORD R. JER	RBIC	CONSENT	X DISCUSSION		
SUBJECT:	SUBJECT:					
RECOMMEN	DING COMMITTEE: BIL	L ELIGIBLE	FOR ADOPTION	AT THIS MEETING:		
	Bill No. 2001-32 – Annexation No. A-0001-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of					
	d approximately 600 feet ea	U	*	•		
•	0 acres; Zoned: R-E (Coun	nty Zoning), U	J (L) (City Equivaler	it); Sponsored by:		
Councilman La	rry Brown					
Fiscal Impac	<b>~4</b>					
Fiscal Impac		Amount:				
	npact					
<b>—</b>	get Funds Available	Dept./Div				
Augr	mentation Required	Funding	Source:			
	BACKGROUND:	1 . 1	. 1 . 1 . 1	'1 CA1 1 D 1		
	ordinance annexes certain re					
	_		_	lest of the property owners.		
	process has now been com ril 27, 2001) is set by this o	_	ordance with the NR	S and the final date of		
аппеханоп (Ар	iii 27, 2001) is set by this o	rumance.				
RECOMMEN	IDATION:					
	t 4/18/2001 City Council me	eeting as purs	uant to the 4/2/2001	Recommending		
Committee.		8 ··· 1 ··· ··				
Committee: Co	ouncilmen Reese and Weekl	ly; First Readi	lng - 3/21/2001; First	st Publication – 4/7/2001		
	OCUMENTATION:					
Bill No. 2001-3	32 and Location Map					
MOTION.						
MOTION:	ond Roading and RH I	<b>ADOPTED</b>	as recommended	as Ordinance No. 5318 -		
UNANIMOUS		ADOI 1ED	as recommended	as Ofumance No. 3310 -		
MINUTES:						
There was no d	liscussion					

# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: DIRECTOR:	: CITY ATTORNEY BRADFORD R. JER	RIC	CONSE	=NT	X DISCUSSION	
DIRECTOR.	BRADI ORD R. JER	ыс	CONSE	_14 1	A DISCUSSION	1
SUBJECT: RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:						
	- Revises certain Munici Proposed by: Michael	-		_		
Fiscal Impact						
X No Impa	ct	Amount	:			
Budget	Funds Available	Dept./Div	vision:			
Augmen	tation Required	Funding	Source:			
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:  This bill updates the provisions of the Municipal Code regarding the parking of oversize vehicles on residential streets by establishing an overall length limitation of twenty-four feet. The bill also makes other minor technical changes.  RECOMMENDATION:  ADOPTION at 4/18/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 4/2/2001 Recommending Committee.						
$Committee: \ Councilmen \ Reese \ and \ Weekly; \ First \ Reading - 3/21/2001; \ First \ Publication - 4/7/2001$						
Bill No. 2001-33						
MOTION: REESE – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5319 - UNANIMOUS						
MINUTES: There was no discu	ssion.	(12:55	<b>)</b>			

4-647

City of Las Vegas

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JER	BIC CONSENT X DISCUSSION						
SUBJECT: RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:							
<b>Bill No. 2001-34</b> – Assigns to the Planning Commission the consideration of zoning variances and similar zoning matters. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack							
Fiscal Impact							
No Impact	Amount: \$400/month*						
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:						
Augmentation Required	Funding Source:						

#### PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Last year the City Council adopted an ordinance to eliminate the Board of Zoning Adjustment (BZA) and assign the hearing of BZA items to either a hearing officer, the Planning Commission or the Council itself. It is now recommended that items currently being heard by a hearing officer be assigned to the Planning Commission for hearing. This bill will implement that recommendation.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 4/18/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 4/2/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Reese and Weekly; First Reading -3/21/2001; First Publication -4/7/2001

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-34

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5320 - UNANIMOUS

#### MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC noted that the blank in Section 20 should be filled in with the number 10. No amendment is necessary.

There was no further discussion.

(12:55 - 12:56)

<sup>\*</sup>Estimated savings in costs (hearing officer compensation and staff overtime).



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY						
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC CONSENT X DISCUSSION						
SUBJECT:						
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:						
<b>Bill No. 2001-41</b> – Requires persons who work as escorts to obtain a health card. Sponsored by:						
Mayor Oscar B. Goodman						
<u>Fiscal Impact</u>						
X No Impact Amount:						
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division:						
Augmentation Required Funding Source:						
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:						
This bill will require persons who work as escorts to obtain a health card.						
RECOMMENDATION:						
ADOPTION at 4/18/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 4/16/2001 Recommending						
Committee.						
Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 4/4/2001; First Publication – 4/7/2001						
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:						
Bill No. 2001-41						
Dill 110. 2001-41						
MOTION:						
REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 92, 105, and 106 to						
5/2/2001 – UNANIMOUS						
MINUTES: There was no related discussion						
There was no related discussion.						
(9:10-9:25)						



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY							
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERE	BIC	CONSENT	X DISCUSSION				
SUBJECT: RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:							
Bill No. 2001-42 – Requires persons who	work as outcall ente	rtainers to obtain	in a health card				
Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman	work as outcan ence	rumers to obta	in a nearm cara.				
sponsored by. Wayor Osea B. Goodman							
Fiscal Impact							
X No Impact	Amount:						
Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:						
Augmentation Required	Funding Source	٥.					
Augmentation Required	i dilding Source	<b>G.</b>					
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:							
This bill will require persons who work as o	utcall entertainers to	obtain a health	ı card.				
This one win require persons who work us o	Great Chief annois to	oouni a nouni	· Cui Gi				
RECOMMENDATION:							
ADOPTION at 4/18/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 4/16/2001 Recommending							
Committee.							
Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack	; First Reading – 4/4	4/2001; First Pu	ıblication – 4/7/2001				
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:							
Bill No. 2001-42							
MOTION:							
MOTION:  REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 92, 105, and 106 to							
5/2/2001 – UNANIMOUS							
MINUTES:							
There was no related discussion.							
	(9:10-9:25)						



DEPARTMENT	T: CITY ATTORNEY					
DIRECTOR:	BRADFORD R. JER	BIC	CONSEN	Т	X DISCUSS	SION
Bill No. 2001-36 (Cimarron Road	NG COMMITTEE: BII  6 – Levies Assessment reto Rainbow Boulevard) Donald). Sponsored by	e: Special Imp (\$52,003.45 -	rovement District I Capital Projects F	No. 14	475 - Westcliff Di	rive
Fiscal Impact						
No Imp	act	Amount:	\$52,003.45			
Budge	t Funds Available	Dept./Div	rision: PW/SID			
X Augme	entation Required	Funding Assessmen	<b>Source:</b> Capital ts	Proje	cts Fund - Levy	
RECOMMEND ADOPTION at 5.	'type curb and gutter, si over a 10 year period.					Costs
Committee.						
Committee: Cour	ncilmen Weekly and Ma	ck; First Read	ing – 4/4/2001; Fir	st Pul	blication – 4/21/2	001
BACKUP DOO None	CUMENTATION:					
MOTION: None required.						
MINUTES: Recommendation	noted.					
5/2/2001 Council	Agenda					



5/2/2001 Council Agenda

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC CONSENT X DISCUSSION
SUBJECT: RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:
<b>Bill No. 2001-37</b> – Annexation No. A-0016-99(A) – Property Location: On the east side of U. S. Highway 95 and the west side of Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: Approximately 7.66 acres; Zoned: H-2 (County Zoning), U(PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack
Fiscal Impact         X       No Impact       Amount:         Budget Funds Available       Dept./Division:         Augmentation Required       Funding Source:
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the east side of U. S. Highway 95 and the west side of Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (May 11, 2001) is set by this ordinance.
RECOMMENDATION: ADOPTION at 5/2/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 4/16/2001 Recommending Committee.
$Committee: \ Councilmen \ Weekly \ and \ Mack; \ First \ Reading - 4/4/2001; \ First \ Publication - 4/21/2001$
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: None
MOTION: None required.
MINUTES: Recommendation noted.



5/2/2001 Council Agenda

DEPAI		: CITY ATT BRADFOR		BIC		CONSENT	X	DISCUSSION
SUBJE RECOM		IG COMMIT	TEE: BILI	L ELIGIBLI	E FOR A	DOPTION A	T A LA	ATER MEETING:
Torrey I	Pines Drive acres; Zone	and Horse D	rive; Petitio	oned By: Ci	ty of Las	Location: Or Vegas; Acrea ent); Sponsore	ge: App	•
	<u>Impact</u>			_				
X	No Impa		:labla	Amount:				
	_	Funds Ava		Dept./Div		\ <del>-</del>		
	Auginei	ntation Req	uirea	runaing	Source	<del>;</del> .		
<u>PURP</u>	OSE/BAC	KGROUN	<u>D:</u>					
Drive ar	nd Horse Da Manageme	rive. The anrent as owner.	nexation is a The annex	at the reques	st of the C ss has nov	City, with the c	concurred leted in a	r of Torrey Pines nce of the Bureau accordance with
			ouncil mee	eting as purs	uant to the	e 4/16/2001 F	Recomm	ending
Commit	tee: Counc	cilmen Weekl	y and Mack	k; First Read	ling – 4/4	/2001; First F	Publication	on – 4/21/2001
BACK None	UP DOCL	<u>JMENTATI</u>	ON:					
MOTIC None re	<u>ON:</u> equired.							
MINUT Recomm	ES: nendation r	noted.						



5/2/2001 Council Agenda

DEPAI	RTMENT:	CITY ATTORNEY					
DIREC	TOR:	BRADFORD R. JER	RBIC	CONSE	NT	X DISCUSSION	
SUBJE RECOM		G COMMITTEE: BIL	L ELIGIBL	E FOR ADOPTIO	ON A	Γ A LATER MEETING	r <b>:</b>
north of Albert a	the Gilmor nd Marilyn	- Annexation No. A-00 e Avenue alignment on Schouten Trust; Acrea City Equivalent); Spons	the east side ge: Approx	of the Marla Streetimately 2.59 acres	et align ; Zone	nment; Petitioned By:	
<u>Fiscal</u>	<u>Impact</u>						
X	No Impa	ıct	Amount	:			
	Budget	Funds Available	Dept./Di	vision:			
	Augmen	ntation Required	Funding	Source:			
The pro Gilmore request	PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:  The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located approximately 330 feet north of the Gilmore Avenue alignment on the east side of the Marla Street alignment. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (May 11, 2001) is set by this ordinance.						
ADOPT	TON at 5/2	/2001 City Council me	eting as purs	suant to the $4/16/20$	001 R	ecommending	
Commit	tee.						
Commit	tee: Counc	ilmen Weekly and Mac	ek; First Rea	ding – 4/4/2001; F	ïrst Pı	ublication – 4/21/2001	
None	UP DOCL	JMENTATION:					
MOTIC None re	<u>)N:</u> equired.						
MINUT Recomm	ES: nendation n	noted.					



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DIRECTOR:	BRADFORD R. JER	BIC	CONSENT	X	DISCUSSION	
SUBJECT: RECOMMENDIN	IG COMMITTEE: BILI	L ELIGIBLE	FOR ADOPTION	AT A LAT	TER MEETING:	
the intersection of t Berk, et al; Acreag	- Annexation No. A-002 he Marla Street and Giln e: Approximately 2.59 ored by: Councilman La	nore Avenue a acres; Zoned:	alignments; Petition	ed By: Will	liam and Grace	
Fiscal Impact  X No Impa		Amount:				
	Funds Available ntation Required	Dept./Divi				
Marla Street and G The annexation pro annexation (May 1 RECOMMENDA	PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:  The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property on the northeast corner of the intersection of the Marla Street and Gilmore Avenue alignments. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (May 11, 2001) is set by this ordinance.  RECOMMENDATION:  ADOPTION at 5/2/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 4/16/2001 Recommending					
Committee: Counc	cilmen Weekly and Macl	k; First Readir	ng – 4/4/2001; First	Publication	n - 4/21/2001	
BACKUP DOCU	JMENTATION:					
MOTION: None required.						
MINUTES: Recommendation r	noted.					
5/2/2001 Council A	Agenda					

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 12:57 P.M.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMEN	T: CITY CLERK		
DIRECTOR:	BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS	CONSENT	DISCUSSIO N
<b>SUBJECT:</b>			

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or

held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 127 [V-0009-01], Item 128 [V-0010-01], Item 132 [U-0026-01] and Item 133 [SD-0009-01] to 5/2/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting

#### **MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY BILL CURRAN, Curran & Parry, 601 South Rancho Drive, verified that Item 132 [U-0026-01] and Item 133 [SD-0009-01] would be held in abeyance.

There was no discussion.

(1:34 - 1:36)

#### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001** 

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

#### PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

#### **CONSENT AGENDA**

# TENTATIVE MAP - SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH TM-0007-01 - Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT U-0133-99(1) - Farm & Alexander Properties on behalf of City Development Group U-0134-99(1) - Farm & Alexander Properties on behalf of City Development Group REINSTATEMENT AND EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING Z-0016-96(3) - H. H. F., Inc. Z-0071-98(2) - Craig Moore

#### DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

#### SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

**118 SD-0005-01** - Echoes of Faith

#### APPEAL OF DIRECTOR'S INTERPRETATION

**119 DB-0003-01** - Garry Carson

#### MASTER SIGN PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

**120 Z-0012-98(3)** - Triple Five Nevada Development Corporation

#### VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING

**121 VAC-0001-01** - Perma-Bilt

#### SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

- **122 Z-0047-98(1)** Care Meridian
- **123 Z-0092-00(1)** City of Las Vegas

#### VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- **124 ABEYANCE ITEM V-0003-01** CBC Financial Corporation
- 125 V-0007-01 Castellanos Family Trust on behalf of La Fuente, Inc.

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two INDEX

City Council Meeting of April 18, 2001

## SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0007-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

**SD-0006-01** - Castellanos Family Trust on behalf of La Fuente, Inc.

#### VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- **V-0009-01** Martin & Phyllis Schwartz Revocable Family Trust on behalf of Charleston Rancho Plaza
- **128** V-0010-01 5 Star Properties-Charleston & Rancho on behalf of University Medical Center of Southern Nevada

#### REQUIRED SIX MONTH REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

129 U-0096-00(1) - Steven J. Weiss on behalf of Theresa Weiss

#### SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

130 U-0200-00 - Raul Gil, Et Al

#### VARIANCE RELATED TO U-0200-00 - PUBLIC HEARING

**131 V-0086-00** - Raul Gil, Et Al

#### SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

132 U-0026-01 - The Southland Employees Trust on behalf of Phillip Painter

## SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0026-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

133 SD-0009-01 - The Southland Employees Trust on behalf of Phillip Painter

#### REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

**134 Z-0007-01** - Abel Parente, Maria Parente, Pablo Lain and Adriana Lain

## SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0007-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

**135 Z-0007-01(1)** - Abel Parente, Maria Parente, Pablo Lain and Adriana Lain

#### REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

**136 Z-0008-01** - Evangelista, Limited Liability Company

#### VARIANCES RELATED TO Z-0008-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

137 V-0011-01 - Evangelista, Limited Liability Company

138 V-0012-01 - Evangelista, Limited Liability Company

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three INDEX
City Council Meeting of April 18, 2001

## <u>SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0008-01, V-0011-01 AND V-0012-01 - PUBLIC HEARING</u>

**139 Z-0008-01(1)** - Evangelista, Limited Liability Company

#### REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

**140 Z-0010-01** - Cornerstone Company

## <u>SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0010-01 - PUBLIC HEARING</u>

**141 Z-0010-01(1)** - Cornerstone Company

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT									
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GE	NZER	X CONSENT	DIS	SCUSSION					
			·						
SUBJECT:									
TENTATIVE MAP - TM-0007-01 - SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH - SPRING									
<b>MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED</b>	MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Tentative Map for								
394 Units on 63 acres adjacent to the	394 Units on 63 acres adjacent to the south side of Iron Mountain Road, approximately 1,350 feet								
west of El Capitan Road, R-E (Reside	ence Estates) 2	Zone under Resolution of	Intent to R-PI	<b>D3</b>					
(Residential Planned Development - 3	Units per Ac	re) and R-PD6 (Residentia	al Planned De	velopment -					
6 Units per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). T	The Planning C	Commission (5-0-1 vote) a	and staff recor	nmend					
APPROVAL									
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFO	RE:	APPROVALS RECE	IVED BEFO	<u> DRE:</u>					
Planning Commission Mtg.	0	<b>Planning Commissi</b>	on Mtg.	0					
Hearing Officer Meeting		<b>Hearing Officer Mee</b>	ting						
City Council Meeting	0	<b>City Council Meetin</b>	g	0					

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – APPROVED Consent Items 113 through 115 and Consent Item 117 subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

**Item 116:** APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, disclosed that he had no involvement with Item 113 [TM-0007-01] because the representative for the application is his business partner in a property outside the City of Las Vegas.

#### MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 113 – TM-0007-01

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. This approval constitutes a waiver of the minimum back-of-curb to back-of-curb street width standards.
- 2. Approval of the Tentative Map shall be for no more than two (2) years. If a Final Map is not recorded on all or a portion of the area embraced by the Tentative Map, a new Tentative Map must be filed.
- 3. Street names must be provided in accord with the City's Street Naming Regulations.
- 4. All development is subject to the conditions of City departments and State Subdivision Statutes.
- 5. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

#### **Public Works**

- 6. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Brent Lane, Iron Mountain Road, and Fort Apache Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements, if any, on Horse Drive adjacent to this.
- 7. Provide a public sewer stub at the intersection of Brent Lane and Blushing River Street, and at the intersection of Rusty Rifle Avenue and Fort Apache Road. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
- 8. An addendum to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 113 – TM-0007-01

#### **CONDITIONS - Continued:**

- 9. All Final Maps based on this Tentative Map shall show the existing 10 foot wide Roadway Corridor Easement (which includes easements for roadway, drainage, fire hydrant, traffic signal, streetlighting, walkway and sewer purposes). Specifically, the "Open Space" widths adjacent to the south edge of Iron Mountain Road, the east edge of Fort Apache Road, and the north and south right-of-way boundaries of Horse Drive are subject to this.
- 10. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-132-93, the Spring Mountain Ranch Overall Lots 1-12 Tentative Map (TM-3-97), all terms of the Spring Mountain Ranch Development Agreement and all other site-related actions. Comply with all recommendations of the Master Traffic Impact Analysis and Master Drainage Plan for the Spring Mountain Ranch Planned Development.
- 11. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this Tentative Map is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of public improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to approval of the construction plans by the City. No deviations from adopted City Standards shall be allowed unless specific written approval for such is received from the City Engineer prior to the recordation of a Final Map or the approval of subdivision-related construction plans, whichever may occur first.



Planning and Development

# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT				1		
DIRECTOR:	ROBERT S. GE	NZER	X	CONSENT	DIS	SCUSSION
SUBJECT:						
EXTENSION OF T				2.7		
PROPERTIES OF					*	
Time of an approve						F-PREMISE
CONSUMPTION				· ·		A 1 1
CONVENIENCE S Road and Tenaya V						
General Plan Design	The state of the s					
Planning Commission	_				iai), waiti + (	Diowii). The
				712		
PROTESTS RE	CEIVED BEFO	RE:	<b>APPRO</b>	VALS RECE	IVED BEF	ORE:
Planning Comm	nission Mtg.	0	Plannin	g Commissio	on Mtg.	0
<b>Hearing Officer</b>	Meeting		Hearing	Officer Meet	ting	
City Council Me	eting	0	City Cou	uncil Meeting	9	0
RECOMMENDA						
The Planning Com	mission (6-0 vote)	and staff recor	nmend AP	PROVAL, subj	ect to condit	ions.
BACKUP DOCU	<u>JMENTATION:</u>					
1. Location Map						
2. Conditions For	This Application					
3. Staff Report						
MOTION:						
REESE - APPR	OVED Consent	Items 113 th	hrough 11	5 and Conse	nt Item 117	subject to
conditions - UNA	NIMOUS					
<b>Item 116:</b>	APP	ROVED und	er separat	e action (see in	dividual item	)
MINUTES:						
There was no discu	assion.					
		(1:	36)			
		5-	78			
<b>CONDITIONS:</b>						

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of this approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time is granted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 114 – U-0133-99(1)

## **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of Special Use Permit U-0133-99.

## **AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001**

DEPARTMENT	: PLANNING &	DEVELOPI	MENT			
DIRECTOR:	ROBERT S. GE	NZER	X	CONSENT	DIS	CUSSION
SUBJECT: EXTENSION OF TO PROPERTIES OF The of an approve PROPOSED CONVERTED AND TENSION OF TO PROPOSED CONVERTED AND THE PROPOSED	N BEHALF OF Code Special Use Perrovenience STOR APN: 138-10-501-00 Inder Resolution of States	CITY DEVEL nit FOR GASO E on property 09), U (Undev Intent to C-1 (	OPMENT OLINE SA located on eloped) Zor Limited Co	GROUP - Red LES IN CONJU- the southeast con- ne [SC (Service Commercial), Ward	quest for an in NCTION Writer of Alexa Commercial)	Extension of ITH A ander Road General
PROTESTS RE	CEIVED BEFO	RE:	<b>APPRO</b>	VALS RECEI	VED BEFO	DRE:
Planning Comn	nission Mtg.	0	Planning	g Commissio	n Mtg.	0
<b>Hearing Officer</b>	Meeting		Hearing	Officer Meeti	ng	
City Council Me	eeting	0	City Cou	ıncil Meeting		0
RECOMMENDA The Planning Comm  BACKUP DOCU  1. Location Map 2. Conditions For 3. Staff Report	mission (6-0 vote) a	and staff recor	mmend AP	PROVAL, subje	ect to conditi	ons.
MOTION: REESE – APPROVED Consent Items 113 through 115 and Consent Item 117 subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS						
Item 116:	APPI	ROVED und	er separat	e action (see ind	ividual item)	l
MINUTES: There was no discu	ission.	`	36) <b>78</b>			
<b>CONDITIONS:</b>						

## Planning and Development

If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of this approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time is granted.

2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of Special Use Permit U-0134-99.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT	: PLANNING &	DEVELOPIN	/IEIN I					
DIRECTOR:	<b>ROBERT S. GE</b>	NZER	X	CONSENT	DIS	SCUSSION		
				•				
<b>SUBJECT:</b>								
REINSTATEMENT AND EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - Z-0016-96(3) - H. H. F.,								
<b>INC.</b> - Request f	For a Reinstatement	and Extension	of Time of	f an approved Re	zoning FRC	OM: U		
(Undeveloped) [PC	CD (Planned Comm	nunity Develop	oment) Gen	eral Plan Design	ation] TO: 1	R-PD9		
	ed Development - 9	•		· ·	-			
	Jensen Street, (AP							
	vote) and staff reco			,	•	U		
PROTESTS RE	CEIVED BEFO	RE:	<u>APPRO</u>	VALS RECEIV	/ED BEF	ORE:		
<b>Planning Comm</b>	mission Mtg.	0	Plannin	g Commissio	n Mtg.	0		
<b>Hearing Officer</b>	Meeting		Hearing	Officer Meeti	ng			
City Council Me	eeting	0	City Cou	uncil Meeting		0		
9	_		-	_				

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### **MOTION:**

BROWN-APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition #1 to a one-year time limit – UNANIMOUS

#### **MINUTES:**

JOHN MALT, H.H.F., Inc., 2421 Tech Center Court, and ENGINEER BILL HUNTER were present. MR. MALT explained that the request for an Extension of Time on the reinstatement of the zoning is being done because they had problems meeting and complying with the initial request to install the sewer and water line. They are currently working with staff on the SID for Gowan Road and Jensen Street and ready to install the water line in front of the project.

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that in 1996 the original rezoning application was subject to a court order, as far as the final approval. However, he does not want to see this property sit for speculation. Since 1996 the area has been developed with a park, a Christian High School and an additional park is

## Agenda Item No. 118

## City of Las Vegas

planned north of the basin. In fact, if this application was to come in today with an R-PD9, it would be incompatible.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 116 – Z-0016-96(3)

#### **MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed with MR. MALT that he is aware of these changes and that once the water and sewer line and SID issues have been resolved the project would move forward. MR. MALT added that the property could not be developed because they could not get water to the site. The improvements, including telephone and power lines, will be budgeted on the 48 units. Initially the project was to be 56 units. COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that Condition #1 be amended to a one-year time limit in order to ascertain that the project is moving forward. MR. MALT concurred with the amendment.

There was no further discussion.

(1:36 – 1:42) **5-126** 

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. A two-year time limit from the date of City Council approval.
- 2. Conformance to all applicable Conditions of Approval of Zone Change (Z-0016-96) and Extension of Time for the Rezone [Z-0016-96(1)] as required by the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works.

#### **Public Works**

3. Original condition #12 of Zoning Reclassification Z-16-96 shall be revised to read as follows: "Construct half-street or full-width improvements, as necessary to provide two-way vehicular access to this site as determined by the City Engineer, on Gowan Road from the center of Jensen Street westward to, at minimum, the west edge of the proposed access drive connecting this site to Gowan Road. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. Construction drawings for all required improvements on Gowan Road shall commence within 12 months of approval of this Extension of Time action by the City Council. Failure to comply with this condition may result in this item being reconsidered by the City Council."

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

<b>DEPARTMENT: PLANNIN</b>	G & DEVELOPI	MEN <u>T</u>		
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S	S. GENZER	X CONSENT	DIS	SCUSSION
SUBJECT: REINSTATEMENT AND EXTRequest for a Reinstatement and Family Residential) TO: C-1 (Lin Drive, approximately 100 feet w The Planning Commission (6-0 v	Extension of Time mited Commercial) est of Pyramid Driv	of an approved Rezoning of 1.74 acres located on the ve (APN: 139-30-501-003)	FROM: R-1 ne south side	(Single of Vegas
PROTESTS RECEIVED B	EFORE:	<b>APPROVALS RECE</b>	IVED BEF	ORE:
Planning Commission Mtg	g. 0	<b>Planning Commission</b>	on Mtg.	0
<b>Hearing Officer Meeting</b>		<b>Hearing Officer Meet</b>	ting	
City Council Meeting	0	City Council Meeting	9	0
The Planning Commission (6-0 v  BACKUP DOCUMENTATI  1. Location Map  2. Conditions For This Applica  3. Staff Report	ON:		oct to condit	
MOTION:				
REESE – APPROVED Conconditions – UNANIMOUS	sent Items 113 th	hrough 115 and Consei	nt Item 117	subject to
Item 116:	APPROVED und	er separate action (see in	dividual item	)
MINUTES:				
There was no discussion.	/1	26)		
	`	36) <b>78</b>		

## **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. The zoning shall expire on January 25, 2002, unless an Extension of Time has been granted.
  - 2. Conformance to all applicable Conditions of Approval of Z-0071-98 and Z-0071-98(1).



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

<b>3.1.1.000</b>			•	
DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GI	_	MENT CONSENT	X DIS	CUSSION
			<u></u>	
SUBJECT:				
	TIENT OF O			
SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REV				Request for a
Site Development Plan Review FOR				
OFFICE/MEETING ROOMS IN C	CONJUNCTIC	N WITH AN EXISTING	CHURCH	on 4.25
acres at 1401 East Washington Aver	ue (APN: 139-	-26-201-013), C-V (Civid	c) Zone, War	rd 5
(Weekly). The Planning Commission	(4-1 vote) and	l staff recommend APPRC	OVAL	
	,			
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFO	RE:	APPROVALS RECEI	VED BEFO	DRE:
Planning Commission Mtg.	0	Planning Commission	n Mtg.	0
<b>Hearing Officer Meeting</b>		<b>Hearing Officer Meet</b>	ing	
City Council Meeting	0	<b>City Council Meeting</b>		0
RECOMMENDATION:				
	1 4 66	1 A DDD OVAI 1 1	11.4	
The Planning Commission (4-1 vote)	and staff recor	nmena APPROVAL, subj	ect to conditi	ons.
<b>BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:</b>				
1. Location Map				
2. Conditions For This Application				
3. Staff Report				
1				
MOTION:				
WEEKLY – APPROVED subject	to conditions	IINANIMOLIS		
WEEKL1 – All ROVED subject	to conditions	- UNAMINIOUS		
MINUTES:				
REVEREND BERTIE McCOY,	Echoes of Fa	th 1401 Fast Washingt	on Avenue	WILLIAM
ARMSTRONG, Engineer, 1952 Na				
Faith, were present.	poison Bilve,		, chiprojec (	2 2011005 01
i didi, word prosonic.				

MRS. WATSON, where she is doing a wonderful job.

There was no further discussion.

(1:42-1:44)

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he visited the church, as well as the school, which is run by

Agenda Item No. 118

## City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 118 – SD-0005-01

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years from date of approval, the Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
- 2. The landscape plan shall indicate a minimum fifteen (15') foot wide landscape planter with 24-inch box trees planted 20-feet on center along the Washington Avenue frontage, and eight (8') foot wide landscape planters with 24-inch box trees planted 30-feet on center along Fantasy Lane and the north and east property lines. All landscaping shall adhere to the minimum requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
- 3. The landscape plan shall depict all parking lot landscape islands be a minimum of five-feet in width with one 24-inch box tree provided for every six parking spaces. All landscaping for parking areas shall adhere to the minimum requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
- 4. The landscape plan shall depict the long row of parking indicated north of the proposed structures to depict one landscape finger island, with a minimum width of five-feet and one 24-inch box tree, to be provided for every six parking spaces. All landscaping shall adhere to the minimum requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
- 5. The site plan shall be revised to indicate a minimum of five (5) handicap parking spaces; one handicap space shall be designated as van accessible.
- 6. All parking surfaces must be paved before the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy for the two proposed building additions.
- 7. The applicant shall remove the mobile home structure before the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy for the two proposed building additions.

#### **Public Works**

- 8. Dedicate an additional 5 feet of right-of-way for a total radius of 25 feet on the northeast corner of Washington Avenue and Fantasy Lane.
- 9. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Fantasy Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 118 – SD-0005-01

#### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

- 10. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site along Washington Avenue, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
- 11. The proposed vehicular gate on Fantasy Lane may be placed immediately behind the right-of-way line as proposed, if remotely operated, or, if not remotely operated if the gate is to remain open during normal business hours and provided the gate is oriented so that no part of the gate encroaches into the public right-of-way in either the open or closed position. If the gate is proposed to be closed during normal business hours, it shall be set back a minimum of 18 feet from the right-of-way line. The proposed vehicular gates on Washington Avenue shall be set back a minimum of 18 feet from the right-of-way line and shall remain open during normal business hours.
- 12. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 118 – SD-0005-01

#### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

- 13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
- 14. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

#### **Standard Conditions**

- 15. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
- 16. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
- 17. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets.
- 18. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT						
DIRECTOR: ROBE	RT S. GENZER	CONSENT	X DISCUSSION			
OUD IEOT.						
SUBJECT:						
APPEAL OF DIRECTOR	'S INTERPRETATION	- DB-0003-01 - GAR	RY CARSON -			
Appeal filed by Garry Carson regarding the Director's Interpretation to reject an application to keep a						
wild or exotic animal (pantl	ner) within an R-E (Resi	dence Estates) Zone at 5100	O Elvis Presley Court			
(APN: 125-12-801-033), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends						
DENIAL						
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:						
Planning Commission	n Mtg.	Planning Commission	on Mtg.			
Hearing Officer Meeti	ng	Hearing Officer Meet	ing			
City Council Meeting	0	City Council Meeting	0			
DECOMMENDATION.						
RECOMMENDATION:	1					
Staff recommends DENIA	L.					

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report
- 4. Submitted at meeting: Packet of information outlining course of events regarding the exotic cat

#### **MOTION:**

MACK - DENIED - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN and M. McDONALD not voting

#### MINUTES:

KELSEY KARA, 5100 Elvis Presley Court, appeared on behalf of her husband GARRY CARSON and submitted information outlining the course of events regarding their exotic pet. MS. KARA explained that when she applied for a change of address on her original wild animal permit she was told that the zoning code had been changed. She had obtained the original permit prior to that change and no special use permit or variance was required. When she received the initial permit in June 1998 she advised the animal control department that the house was for sale, which sold in 1999, and that they would be moving to a larger location to accommodate their exotic cat, which is used in their magic illusion show in Las Vegas and worldwide. At that time the law did not require two acres and a 1500-foot separation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 119 – DB-0003-01

#### **MINUTES – Continued:**

MS. KARA stated that she also called the City of Las Vegas to ensure that the property was located in the City of Las Vegas in order to retain their exotic animal license. Additionally, the owner and developer amended the CC&R's of their development to allow their exotic cat on their property. She even informed her neighbors of her intention and was met with no opposition. She and her husband have invested a lot of money in their home and now have no home for their exotic pet.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning Development Department, explained that in order to allow an exotic animal at this location a use variance would be required. However, under the current City regulations use variances are not allowed. Therefore, when this request came forward he had no alternative but to deny the request. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN stated that upon reviewing this issue the analysis of the law in the staff report is accurate and unfortunately, it is illegal to have a wild animal at this location. Under the current City Zoning Code, variances are limited to their applicability of where they can be applied and unfortunately, this is one of those specific areas where it is prohibited to grant a variance.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY GREEN discussed with COUNCILMAN REESE that the applicant has the right to appeal the Director's denial and that MR. GENZER was applying the law. Thus, this application came before the City Council

MS. KARA reiterated that she was already licensed and the land was purchased before the zone change. Additionally, as an exotic animal license holder she was never notified that the law had been changed.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY GREEN if there is anything the Council could do to approve this request. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY GREEN replied that in the past there have been situations where the hardship has been so difficult that the City Council has actually gone against the code and issued a variance in spite of the code. However, the City Attorney's Office and the Planning staff has always recommended against that because it sets a bad precedent and weakens the value of the code. MR. GENZER added that the application before the City Council is a request to overturn his interpretation of the code. If the Council chooses to do that, the applicant would then be free to apply for a variance and go through the Planning Commission and City Council process.

COUNCILMAN REESE verified with MS. KARA that she approached her neighbors even before she purchased her property to make them aware of her exotic animal. She asked why the address couldn't be changed as of December 23, 1999, which at that time no variance or special use was required.

City of Las Vegas Agenda Item No. 119

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 119 – DB-0003-01

#### MINUTES – Continued:

Even though this application was not open to public comments, COUNCILMAN MACK requested that some of the residents speak, as this is a special issue.

CAROL LeDUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard, stated that a similar issue was discussed at the County. She understands MS. KARA's predicament and her constitutional right to have a pet, but it has to be balanced with the safety of the neighborhood and whether or not someone sees that animal as a predator. In most cases the permit runs with the property and safeguards should be implemented against the proliferation of an exotic animal use. She agreed with MR. GENZER's findings and stated that it is unfortunate that the applicant was given the wrong information, but public safety has to be maintained.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that when there is a conflict such as this application, the neighbors should decide if such a use should go into a certain location.

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that this is a very difficult issue and is compassionate to MR. CARSON and MS. KARA's plea. However, he has to consider the welfare of the entire community and a precedent that this might set. Additionally, an elementary school is being built 1500 feet from this site. Therefore, he moved to deny the request on the grounds that it does not meet the parcel size, the zoning requirement and being within the 1500 feet of the existing residences, as well as 1500 feet of an approved elementary school.

There was no further discussion.

(1:44 - 1:57)



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT						
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GE	NZER		CONSENT	X DIS	SCUSSION	
DINEOTON: NODEN OF			001102111	<u> </u>	300001011	
SUBJECT:  MASTER SIGN PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0012-98(3) - TRIPLE FIVE  NEVADA DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION - Request for a Master Sign Plan Review for property located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Alta Drive and Rampart Boulevard (Boca Park, Phase III) (APN: 138-32-412-019, PD (Planned Development), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL						
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:				ORE:		
Planning Commission Mtg.	0	Planning	g Commissio	on Mtg.	0	
Hearing Officer Meeting		Hearing Officer Meeting				
City Council Meeting	0	City Council Meeting 0		0		

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to condition - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN and M. McDONALD not voting

#### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JAMES GRINDSTAFF, Perlman Architects, 2230 Corporate Circle, #200, Henderson, thanked COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD for working with him on the master sign plan. He also thanked SONYA DOUGLASS, Council Liaison and JOEL McCULLOCH, CHRIS KNIGHT and JOHN KOSWAN, Planning staff, for their diligent work. MR. GRINDSTAFF addressed the pole lighting and asked for clarification on the condition addressing that there shall be no pole lighting installed on top of any building, on top of deck parking for parking garage, and that building 7 shall have wall mounted lighting only. He wanted to make sure that it was clear that it was only for the building adjacent to residential.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 120 – Z-0012-98(3)

#### MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked MR. GRINDSTAFF to discuss the location of the monument signs and also to show renderings of the monument, pylon and building signs. MR. GRINDSTAFF stated that the monument signs have not been constructed yet and in order to maintain consistency the pylon signs will be similar to the existing sign. The monument signs will be located internal to the site with the exception of one on Alta Drive. There will be two new pylon signs, one at the entry of Rampart Boulevard and one at the corner of Rampart Boulevard and Alta Drive, as well as the existing pylon sign. The signage on the building, especially the one on the Great Indoors, will be light gray and red with standard script. The total square footage of the sign represents 720 square feet.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked what would be the percentages on the various signs, as signs will be approved not only for this property, but also for other grouping of commercial areas. MR. KOSWAN replied that the signage cannot exceed 75% nor less than 40% of the overall width of that suite. COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MR. KOSWAN if the same standard would hold for the big box as well. MR. KOSWAN replied that the total square footage of the big box is going to be based on the leaner footage of the building frontage. As the applicant stated, that gives them roughly about 1400 square feet of sign area per side. In this case what they are stating is that their signs are going to be a little over 700 square feet and there will be two of them, so that is going to be what the limitation is on that signage. If they were held to the same standard with 331 feet, signs would far exceed their maximum permitted sign area. COUNCILMAN McDONALD commented that she wanted to clarify this for the record to ensure that it is 700 square feet total and that the percentage is applied to the suites and not to the Great Indoors.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:57 - 2:05)

5-728

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Amend the Master Sign Plan Development Standards to allow a maximum of 20% any suite frontage to be used for wall signage, with the exception of the Great Indoors Big Box Retailer.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT					
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GE	INZER	CONSENT	X DIS	CUSSION	
SUBJECT: VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0001-01 - PERMA-BILT - Petition for a Vacation to vacate Right-of-Way Easement Grants generally located on the northeast corner of Gowan Road and Barden Road, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL					
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFO	RE:	APPROVALS RECEI	VED BEFO	DRE:	
Planning Commission Mtg.	0	Planning Commission Mtg.		0	
<b>Hearing Officer Meeting</b>	ing Officer Meeting Hearing Officer Meeting		ng		
City Council Meeting	0	<b>City Council Meeting</b>		0	
The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) a  BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:  1. Location Map  2. Conditions For This Application  3. Staff Report	and staff recor	mmend APPROVAL, subje	ect to conditi	ons.	
MOTION: BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting					
MINUTES: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.					
BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada recommendations.	, 2727 Sout	th Rainbow Boulevard,	concurred	with staff's	
No one appeared in opposition.					
There was no further discussion.					
MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed. (2:05 – 2:06)					

5-1023

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 121 – VAC-0001-01

#### **CONDITIONS:**

#### Planning and Development

1. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

#### **Public Works**

- 2. All improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
- 3. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
- 4. Development of these sites shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-33-97, the Lone Mountain Master Development Plan, and all other applicable site-related actions.

#### **Standard Conditions**

- 5. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress there from shall be provided if required.
- 6. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT						
DIRECTOR: F	ROBERT S. GE	NZER		CONSENT	X DI	SCUSSION
<b>SUBJECT:</b>						
SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - <b>Z-0047-98(1) - CARE</b>						
MERIDIAN - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 19,940						
SQUARE FOOT CONVALESCENT CARE FACILITY on 5.10 Acres on the north side of Carmen						
Boulevard, west of Buffalo Drive (APN: 138-28-501-013), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-						
Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intention to R-2 (Medium-						
Low Density Residential), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff						
recommend APPROVAL						
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:						ORE:
<b>Planning Commi</b>	ssion Mtg.	0	Plannin	g Commissio	n Mtg.	0
<b>Hearing Officer N</b>	leeting		Hearing	Officer Meeti	ng	
<b>City Council Mee</b>	ting	0	City Cou	uncil Meeting		0

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that she and MR. VANCE were on the winning four-some for the Summerlin Children's Forum golf tournament, but feels comfortable voting on this issue.

#### MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

EDWARD VANCE, JMA Architecture Studios, 10150 Covington Cross, appeared on behalf of the owner and stated that this small hospital is for brain injury patients and is located in a highly residential area. In fact, the hospital will have the appearance of a large custom home.

Agenda Item No. 122

## City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 122 – Z-0047-98(1)

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that he supports the proposed building.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:06-2:09)

5-1053

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 15 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.
- 2. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).

#### **Public Works**

3. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-47-98 and all other site-related actions as required by the Department of Public Works.

#### **Standard Conditions**

- 4. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
- 5. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations.
- 6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 122 – Z-0047-98(1)

#### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

- 7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
- 8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).
- 9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
- 10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
- 11. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
- 12. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.



### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT							
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GE	NZER	CONSENT	X DIS	SCUSSION			
SUBJECT:							
SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REV	IEW - PUB	LIC HEARING - <b>Z-009</b> 2	2-00(1) - C	TTY OF			
LAS VEGAS - Request for a Site I	Development I	Plan Review FOR A PRO	POSED 10,7	<sup>'</sup> 38			
SQUARE FOOT FIRE STATION I	ocated at the n	orthwest corner of Smoke	Ranch Road	l and Torrey			
Pines Drive (APN: 138-14-402-001)	, R-E (Reside	nce Estates) Zone under Re	esolution of l	Intent to C-			
V (Civic), Ward 6 (Mack). The Plant	ning Commissi	ion (5-0 vote) and staff rec	ommend AF	PPROVAL			
	D.E.	400000444000000	VED DEE	205			
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFO	<u>RE:</u>	APPROVALS RECEI	AFD RFL	ORE:			
Planning Commission Mtg.	0	<b>Planning Commission</b>	on Mtg.	1			
Hearing Officer Meeting		<b>Hearing Officer Meet</b>	ing				
City Council Meeting	0	<b>City Council Meeting</b>	J	0			

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting

#### MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Manager, Real Estate and Asset Management, stated that the site plan is for Fire Station #43 and pointed out that a driveway on Torrey Pines will not be part of the project, but will be developed as a green space and park area. MR. ROARK concurred with staff's conditions.

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard North, stated that a fire station would benefit area residents.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 123 – Z-0092-00(1)

#### MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN clarified with MR. ROARK that the small piece of property will not have public parking, but it will be developed into a walk-in park, as it is only a half-acre. The intent is to develop this park along with the fire station. This will be best for the neighborhood, who fully approve.

COUNCILMAN MACK reiterated MR. ROARK's comments and added that by developing a park it would decrease having an intense use that would require parking. The fire department's men and women will also utilize the walk-in park. COUNCILMAN MACK commended the Las Vegas Fire Services with the passage of the fire bond, which is making construction of this fire station possible. He also commended staff and the fire department's efforts on the design and the prototype that will be used, which is the same used for Fire Station #41.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:09 - 2:13)

5-1153

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
- 2. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Lighting standards within the parking lot shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.
- 3. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

#### Public Works

4. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 123 – Z-0092-00(1)

#### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

- 5. Coordinate with the Traffic Engineering Division to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site in accordance with Standard Drawings #201.1, 234.1, 234.2, and 234.3 on Torrey Pines Drive and Smoke Ranch Road; dedicate all right-of-way, if any, recommended by the Traffic Engineering Division and grant appropriate easements for bus shelters prior to issuance of permits.
- 6. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-92-00 and all other site-related actions.

#### **Standard Conditions**

- 7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view of abutting streets.
- 8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.
- 9. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
- 10. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT:	DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT							
DIRECTOR:	<b>ROBERT GENZ</b>	ZER, ACTINO	CONSENT	X DIS	SCUSSION			
		•						
SUBJECT:								
<b>ABEYANCE ITE</b>	M - VARIANC	E - PUBLIC	HEARING - <b>V-0003-0</b>	1 - CBC FI	<b>INANCIAL</b>			
CORPORATION	- Request for a	Variance TO	ALLOW AN EIGHT-FO	OT TALL S	OLID			
BLOCK WALL W	HERE A SIX FO	OT TALL W	ALL WITH THE TOP FO	OUR FEET (	OPEN IS			
THE MAXIMUM	HEIGHT ALLOV	VED WITHIN	THE FRONT YARD AF	REA at 1001	McWilliams			
Avenue (APN: 139	0-28-703-005), R	-3 (Medium D	Pensity Residential) Zone, V	Ward 5 (Wee	kly). The			
Planning Commission	on (5-1 vote) and	staff recomme	nd APPROVAL					
PROTESTS RE	CEIVED BEFO	RE:	<b>APPROVALS RECE</b>	IVED BEF	ORE:			
<b>Planning Comm</b>	nission Mtg.	2	Planning Commission	on Mtg.	0			
<b>Hearing Officer</b>	Meeting		Hearing Officer Meet	ting				
City Council Me	eting	0	City Council Meeting	3	0			
			•					

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### **MOTION:**

WEEKLY - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting

#### MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CATHERINE DUNCAN, CBC Financial Corporation, 900 West Bonanza Road, thanked COUNCILMAN WEEKLY for meeting with them. She is proud to know that the City of Las Vegas is taking effective measures to control the activity that is taken place in this community. With those measures, they will be able to control their property and begin the first phase of the Moulin Rouge, which is planned to open in October 2001. She concurred with staff's recommendations and conditions and thanked the Mayor and Council for their continued support.

Agenda Item No. 124

### City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 124 – V-0003-01

#### **MINUTES – Continued:**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked whether there would be wrought iron on top of the wall. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY replied that there would be a six-foot block wall with two feet of wrought iron.

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 North Las Vegas Boulevard, verified with MS. DUNCAN that CBC Financial Corporation purchased the Moulin Rouge and all the surrounding properties in an effort to preserve the property and restore it to its original 1955 appearance.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reiterated that instead of an eight-foot block wall, there would be a six-foot block wall with two feet of wrought iron. He directed that staff work closely with the adjacent property owner, Penske, to remove the razor wire and make their wall more aesthetically pleasing. Razor wire on walls will not resolve the drug issues, but working together with the adjacent business owners and the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department will.

(2:13 – 2:17) **5-1300** 

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. This Variance shall be amended to allow an eight-foot tall wall, consisting of a six-foot high component of decorative block topped with a two-foot tall component of wrought iron.
- 2. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval by City Council, then this Variance shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
- 3. Submit complete plans and structural calculations to the Department of Building and Safety for review and permit.
- 4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 124 – V-0003-01

### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

5. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.

#### Public Works

6. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement between this site and the adjoining parcels to the east and south prior to the issuance of any permits.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING &	DEVELOPME	ENT			
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GE	NZER		CONSENT	X DIS	CUSSION
				<u></u>	
SUBJECT:					
VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING	G - V-0007-01	- CASTE	ELLANOS FA	MILY TR	UST ON
BEHALF OF LA FUENTE, INC.	- Request for a	Variance 7	ΓO ALLOW A	PROPOSI	ED PORTE
COCHERE ADDITION TO AN EX	ISTING STRU	CTURE (C	CHEETAH'S) 5	FEET FR	OM THE
FRONT PROPERTY LINE WHERE	E 10 FEET IS T	HE MININ	<b>IUM SETBA</b>	CK REQUI	RED at
2112 Western Avenue (APN: 162 04)	4-302-007), M (	(Industrial)	Zone, Ward 3	(Reese). T	he Planning
Commission (5-0 vote) and staff record	mmend APPRO	VAL			
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFO	RE: A	APPROVA	ALS RECEIV	ED BEFO	DRE:
Planning Commission Mtg.	0 P	Planning	Commission	n Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	H	learing O	fficer Meetii	ng	
City Council Meeting	0 0	City Coun	cil Meeting		0
-		-	•	!	

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, L.B McDONALD, and M. McDONALD not voting

#### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

PETE CHRISTIANSEN, 810 South Casino Center Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions. He verified for COUNCILMAN REESE that the request is not to enlarge the existing structure.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 125 – V-0007-01

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 125 [V-0007-01] and Item 126 [SD-0006-01] took place under Item 126 [SD-0006-01].

(2:17 – 2:19) **5-1462** 

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. Conformance to the conditions of approval of Site Development Plan Review SD-0006-01 as required by the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works.
- 2. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval by City Council, then this Variance shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

#### **Standard Conditions**

3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.



### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT** CONSENT **ROBERT S. GENZER** DIRECTOR: Χ DISCUSSION SUBJECT: SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0007-01 - PUBLIC HEARING -SD-0006-01 - CASTELLANOS FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF LA FUENTE, INC. -Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED EXTERIOR REMODEL AND PORTE COCHERE ADDITION TO AN EXISTING BUILDING (CHEETAH'S) on approximately 1.28 acres located at 2112 Western Avenue (APN's: 162-04-302-007 and 162-04-403-001), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: **APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE: Planning Commission Mtg. Planning Commission Mtg. Hearing Officer Meeting Hearing Officer Meeting City Council Meeting City Council Meeting** 0 0

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN, L.B McDONALD, and M. McDONALD not voting

#### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

PETE CHRISTIANSEN, 810 South Casino Center Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

Agenda Item No. 126

### City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 126 – SD-0006 01

#### MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 125 [V-0007-01] and Item 126 [SD-0006-01] took place under Item 126 [SD-0006-01].

(2:17 – 2:19) **5-1462** 

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Public Works

- 1. Coordinate with the Right-of-Way Section to determine the appropriate grant for a permanent easement around an existing flashing pedestrian light pole adjacent to parcel APN #162-04-302-006. Grant such required easements prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 2. Any new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
- 3. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.

#### **Standard Conditions**

- 4. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
- 5. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations.
- 6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 126 – SD-0006 01

#### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

- 7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
- 8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).
- 9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
- 10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
- 11. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
- 12. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade.
- 13. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

Agenda Item No. 127

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUN	ICIL MEETI	NG OF: APRIL 18, 2001	<u> </u>				
<b>DEPARTMENT: PLANNING &amp;</b>	DEVELOP	MENT					
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER CONSENT X DISCUSSION							
SUBJECT:							
VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING	7 - <b>V-0009-</b> (	1 - MARTIN & PHYLL	JS SCHWA	ARTZ			
REVOCABLE FAMILY TRUST							
Request for a Variance TO ALLOW							
MAXIMUM HEIGHT PERMITTEI							
802-032), C-D (Designed Commerc							
The Planning Commission vote on a r							
forwarded to City Council without a r			1). 11113 100111	i is being			
Torwarded to City Council without a r	ccommendatio	Л					
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFO	RE:	APPROVALS RECEIV	/ED BEFC	DRE:			
Planning Commission Mtg.	4	Planning Commission	n Mtg.	0			
Hearing Officer Meeting		Hearing Officer Meeting	ng [				
City Council Meeting	0	<b>City Council Meeting</b>		0			
RECOMMENDATION:							
Staff recommends DENIAL.							
The Planning Commission vote on a motion for Approval resulted in a tie (2-2-1). This item will be							
forwarded to City Council without a recommendation							

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 127 [V-0009-01], Item 128 [V-0010-01], Item 132 [U-0026-01] and Item 133 [SD-0009-01] to 5/2/2001 – UNANIMOUS

#### MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

Agenda Item No. 128

0

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT** DIRECTOR: **ROBERT S. GENZER** CONSENT Χ DISCUSSION SUBJECT: VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0010-01 - 5 STAR PROPERTIES-CHARLESTON & RANCHO ON BEHALF OF UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA -Review requested by Councilman Michael McDonald from the Approval by the Planning Commission of a request by 5 Star Properties-Charleston & Rancho on behalf of University Medical Center of Southern Nevada for a Variance TO ALLOW A 20 FOOT HIGH MONUMENT SIGN WHERE 8 FOOT IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT PERMITTED located at 2231 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-04-101-002), R-E (RESIDENCE ESTATES) Zone under Resolution of Intent to O (Office) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: **APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE: Planning Commission Mtg.** 1 **Planning Commission Mtg. Hearing Officer Meeting Hearing Officer Meeting** 

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

**City Council Meeting** 

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**City Council Meeting** 

0

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 127 [V-0009-01], Item 128 [V-0010-01], Item 132 [U-0026-01] and Item 133 [SD-0009-01] to 5/2/2001 – UNANIMOUS

#### MINUTES:

There was no discussion.



### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ROBERT S. GENZER** CONSENT DIRECTOR: Χ **DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: REQUIRED SIX MONTH REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING -U-0096-00(1) - STEVEN J. WEISS ON BEHALF OF THERESA WEISS - Required Six Month Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a COMMERCIAL HORSE BOARDING AND TRAINING FACILITY on the northwest corner of Leon Avenue and Rosada Way (APN: 125-36-301-010), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE: **Planning Commission Mtg. Planning Commission Mtg.** 1 **Hearing Officer Meeting Hearing Officer Meeting City Council Meeting** 0 **City Council Meeting** 1

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD, M. McDONALD and GOODMAN not voting

#### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that no complaints were reported to either Business Services or Code Enforcement since the use permit was issued. He stated that this is a six-month required review.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that once the trail project is fully developed, these types of facilities would be needed.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 129 – U-0096-00(1)

### MINUTES - Continued:

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard North, stated that he considers horses his friend and supports this application.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:19-2:21)

5-1550

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. There shall be a five (5) year review by the Planning Commission and City Council to ensure adherence to all Conditions of Approval.
- 2. The use shall comply with all previous Conditions of Approval.



### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ROBERT S. GENZER CONSENT** DIRECTOR: X DISCUSSION SUBJECT: SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0200-00 - RAUL GIL, ET AL - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED RESTAURANT SERVICE BAR FOR THE SALE OF LIQUOR IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING RESTAURANT & PROPOSED RESTAURANT ADDITION (CASA DON JUAN) located at 1204 South Main Street (APN's: 162-03-110-103 and 104) C-M (Commercial/Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL **APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:** PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: **Planning Commission Mtg.** 1 **Planning Commission Mtg. Hearing Officer Meeting Hearing Officer Meeting City Council Meeting** 0 **City Council Meeting** 0

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report
- 4. Submitted at meeting, but not discussed for the record a support letter from Rex Bell

#### MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting

NOTE: ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, disclosed that he has not been involved with the recommendation process on Item 130 [U-0200-00] and Item 131 [V-0086-00] as property owned by his in-laws is within the notification radius.

#### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

TIM AYALA, 4600 Sunset Road, #148, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that parking issues have been resolved and that he has applied for the vacation of the right-of-way on California Street. There is sufficient parking for this location.

City of Las Vegas Agenda Item No. 130

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 130 – U-0200-00

#### MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that at the Planning Commission it was represented that this business is too intense for the site and that he feels the same way. It was also discussed that there would be mostly foot traffic. However, the restaurant is not in close proximity to downtown to generate foot traffic.

KATHERINE KUHN stated she owns property near the proposed project and that there is not sufficient parking. The area is congested and the sale of liquor will bring in more people. She is not aware of where the applicant made an arrangement for the off-site parking.

MR. AYALA verified for MAYOR PRO TEM REESE that he submitted and recorded a parking agreement with A-1 Office Machine, a business located on the west of the applicant's property. ANDY REED, Planning & Development Department, clarified that Condition #1 of Item 131 [V-0086-00] references that agreement for 30 additional parking spaces.

GUADALUPE GIL, the owner of Casa Don Juan, stated that she has worked very hard for the past four years in this area, which used to be unpleasant. However, this area has now improved. She reiterated MR. AYALA's comments that property has been acquired for additional parking.

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard North, verified with MR. AYALA that the property for the 31 additional parking spaces is adjacent to the restaurant.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE stated that he has visited the location and asked that the applicant continue to address the parking issue because there is a dire need for parking in this particular area.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:21-2:30)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 130 – U-0200-00

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. The applicant shall only apply for a restaurant service bar license. A tavern license shall not be located at this site.
- 2. The Restaurant Service Bar shall only be conducted in the existing portion of the restaurant (Casa Don Juan).
- 3. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
- 4. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
- 5. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
- 6. All city Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

#### **Public Works**

7. This site shall be redesigned to eliminate parking lot encroachment into existing public rights-of-way on California Street. No portion of public right-of-way may be used to fulfill on-site parking requirements. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on California Street adjacent to this site and submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the California Street public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

Alternatively, an Application to Vacate the existing public right-of-way in conflict with this plan on California Street between Main Street and Commerce Street shall be submitted and approved by the City Council prior to the issuance of permits or occupancy for the proposed expansion to this site.

8. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (streetlights) adjacent to this site on California Street and construct full-width alley improvements adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of the proposed expansion to this site.

9. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site as required by the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 130 – U-0200-00

#### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

- 10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
- 11. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. G		MENT CONSENT	X DIS	SCUSSION				
SUBJECT:  VARIANCE RELATED TO U-0200-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0086-00 - RAUL GIL, ET  AL - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 23 PARKING SPACES WHERE 65 SPACES ARE								
THE MINIMUM REQUIRED at 12		`		, ,				
(Commercial/Industrial) Zone, Ward Commission (4-1-1 vote) recommer	` ,		The Plannin	g				
Commission (4-1-1 vote) recommen	ius Ai i NO v A	L						
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFO	DRE:	<b>APPROVALS RECEI</b>	VED BEFO	ORE:				
Planning Commission Mtg.	1	Planning Commission	on Mtg.	0				
Hearing Officer Meeting		<b>Hearing Officer Meet</b>	ing					
City Council Meeting	0	City Council Meeting	J	0				

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### **MOTION:**

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting

NOTE: ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, disclosed that he has not been involved with the recommendation process on Item 130 [U-0200-00] and Item 131 [V-0086-00] as property owned by his in-laws is within the notification radius.

#### MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

TIM AYALA, 4600 Sunset Road, #148, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE stated that an agreement for 31 additional parking spaces is in place and that the restaurant is a great addition to this particular area.

Agenda Item No. 131

### City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 131 – V-0086-00

#### **MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:21 - 2:30)

5-1636

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. This Variance shall be amended to allow for 46 parking spaces per the submitted Parking Agreement between Casa Don Juan Restaurant and A-1 Office Machine for 30 parking spaces.
- 2. If this Variance is not exercised within one year from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
- 3. Submit a revised site plan indicating the parking spaces meeting minimum Code requirements revise handicap space, indicate compact parking spaces where utilized, and indicate one-way drive aisle, to the satisfaction of Planning & Development staff.
- 4. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments which are not affected by approval of this Variance must be satisfied.
- 5. This Variance shall be subject to a one (1) year review.

#### **Public Works**

6. This site shall be redesigned to eliminate parking lot encroachment into existing public rights-of-way on California Street. No portion of public right-of-way may be used to fulfill on-site parking requirements. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on California Street adjacent to this site and submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the California Street public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

Alternatively, an Application to Vacate the existing public right-of-way in conflict with this plan on California Street between Main Street and Commerce Street shall be submitted and

approved by the City Council prior to the issuance of permits or occupancy for the proposed expansion to this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 131 – V-0086-00

### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

**Standard Conditions** 

7. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

<b>DEPARTMENT:</b>	DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT							
DIRECTOR:	<b>ROBERT S. GE</b>	NZER	CONSE	ENT	X DIS	SCUSSION		
SUBJECT:								
SPECIAL USE PE	RMIT - PUBLIC	C HEARING	- U-0026-01 - TI	IE SO	UTHLANI	)		
<b>EMPLOYEES TR</b>	RUST ON BEHA	LF OF PHII	LIP PAINTER -	Reques	st for a Spec	cial Use		
Permit FOR A PRO	POSED USED A	AUTO DEAL	ERSHIP at 3201 No	rth Rar	ncho Drive	(APN: 138-		
12-801-011), C-2	(General Commer	rcial) Zone, W	ard 6 (Mack). Staff	recomi	mends DEN	IAL. The		
Planning Commission	on vote on a motic	on for approva	resulted in a tie (2-2	-1), thε	erefore this i	tem is being		
forwarded to City C	Council without a r	ecommendation	on			_		
PROTESTS REC	CEIVED BEFO	RE:	APPROVALS R	ECEI\	/ED BEF	ORE:		
Planning Comm	ission Mtg.	1	Planning Comm	nissio	n Mtg.	1		
<b>Hearing Officer</b>	Meeting		<b>Hearing Officer</b>	Meeti	ng			
<b>City Council Me</b>	eting	0	City Council Me	eting		0		

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission vote on a motion for approval resulted in a tie (2-2-1), therefore this item is being forwarded to City Council without a recommendation.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### **MOTION:**

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 127 [V-0009-01], Item 128 [V-0010-01], Item 132 [U-0026-01] and Item 133 [SD-0009-01] to 5/2/2001 – UNANIMOUS

#### **MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY BILL CURRAN, Curran & Parry, 601 South Rancho Drive, verified that Item 132 [U-0026-01] and Item 133 [SD-0009-01] would be held in abeyance.

There was no discussion.

Agenda Item No. 133

0

### AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001 DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ROBERT S. GENZER** DIRECTOR: CONSENT Χ **DISCUSSION** SUBJECT: SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0026-01 - PUBLIC HEARING -SD-0009-01 - THE SOUTHLAND EMPLOYEES TRUST ON BEHALF OF PHILLIP **PAINTER** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 2,400 SQUARE FOOT USED AUTO DEALERSHIP at 3201 North Rancho Drive (APN: 138-12-801-011), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission vote on a motion for approval resulted in a tie (2-2-1), therefore this item is being forwarded to City Council without a recommendation PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: **APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE: Planning Commission Mtg. Planning Commission Mtg. Hearing Officer Meeting Hearing Officer Meeting** 

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

**City Council Meeting** 

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission vote on a motion for approval resulted in a tie (2-2-1), therefore this item is being forwarded to City Council without a recommendation.

0

**City Council Meeting** 

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 127 [V-0009-01], Item 128 [V-0010-01], Item 132 [U-0026-01] and Item 133 [SD-0009-01] to 5/2/2001 – UNANIMOUS

#### MINUTES:

ATTORNEY BILL CURRAN, Curran & Parry, 601 South Rancho Drive, verified that Item 132 [U-0026-01] and Item 133 [SD-0009-01] would be held in abeyance.

There was no discussion.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT							
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GI	ENZER	CONSENT	X DI	SCUSSION			
SUBJECT:							
REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING	G - <b>Z-0007-0</b>	1 - ABEL PARENTE	, MARIA P	ARENTE,			
PABLO LAIN AND ADRIANA I	LAIN - Requ	est for a Rezoning FRON	1: R-1 (Single	Family			
Residential) TO: P-R (Professional C	Office and Parki	ing) on 0.14 Acres locate	d at 1501 Soi	uth Maryland			
Parkway (APN: 162-02-210-012), 1	PROPOSED U	JSE: Office, Ward 3 (Ree	se). The Plan	nning			
Commission (5-0 vote) and staff reco	ommend APPR	OVAL	,	C			
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFO	DRE:	APPROVALS RECE	IVED BEF	ORE:			
Planning Commission Mtg.	2	<b>Planning Commiss</b>	ion Mtg.	3			
<b>Hearing Officer Meeting</b>		Hearing Officer Med	eting				
City Council Meeting	0	City Council Meetin	g	0			
_							

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting

#### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ADRIANA LAIN, 1716 Burgess Cup Drive, and ABEL PARENTE, 1501 Maryland Parkway, were present. MS. LAIN concurred with staff's conditions and added that 15 feet of landscaping will be provided at the main entrance to the building adjacent to a handicap ramp. She presented a design of staff's landscaping recommendation.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that residences being converted into offices create a parking shortage. He believes that landscaping variances should not be granted because they create areas similar to Eastern Avenue, between Charleston Boulevard and Oakey Boulevard. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE replied that the entire Council agrees with his concerns.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 134 – Z-0007-01

#### **MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that those businesses interested in converting from residence to office have been encouraged to purchase more than one residence in order to add more parking.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 134 [Z-0007-01] and Item 135 [Z-0007-01(1) took place under Item 134 [Z-0007-01].

(2:31 – 2:35) **5-2024** 

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. Resolution of Intent with a one-year time limit.
- 2. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.

#### Public Works

- 3. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
- 4. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 134 – Z-0007-01

#### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

#### **Standard Conditions**

- 5. All development shall be in conformance with the plot plan and building elevations.
- 6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be a cause for revocation of a business license.
- 7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
- 8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).
- 9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
- 10. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GI		MENT CONSENT	X DIS	SCUSSION				
SUBJECT: SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0007-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0007-01(1) - ABEL PARENTE, MARIA PARENTE, PABLO LAIN AND ADRIANA LAIN - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 1,443 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE CONVERSION located at 1501 South Maryland Parkway (APN: 162-02-210-012), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, PROPOSED: P-R (Professional Office and Parking), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL								
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFOR		APPROVALS RECEIVE		_				
Planning Commission Mtg.	2	Planning Commission	•	3				
Hearing Officer Meeting City Council Meeting	0	Hearing Officer Meeting City Council Meeting	ng	0				
RECOMMENDATION: The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.  BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:  1. Location Map 2. Conditions For This Application 3. Staff Report								
MOTION: REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting								
MINUTES:  MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.								
ADRIANA LAIN, 1716 Burgess Cup Drive, and ABEL PARENTE, 1501 Maryland Parkway, were present								
There was no further discussion.								
MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declar	ed the Public H	earing closed.						
NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE directed the applicant to continue working with staff on landscaping.								

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 135 – Z-0007-01(1)

### <u>MINUTES – Continued:</u>

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 134 [Z-0007-01] and Item 135 [Z-0007-01(1) took place under Item 134 [Z-0007-01].

(2:31 – 2:35) **5-2024** 

#### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within one year from date of approval, the Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
- 2. The site plan shall be revised to locate the five required parking spaces along the east (rear) property line. The site plan shall include one van accessible space, three regular spaces, and one compact space.
- 3. The landscape plan shall be revised to reflect: a fifteen foot wide front yard landscape planter with the exception of providing a pedestrian access (five-foot wide sidewalk) to the building; six-foot wide rear yard landscape planter along the east property line; and a five-foot wide side yard landscape planter along the north property line. The landscape planters shall be planted with 24-inch box trees, 30-feet on center and shrub and groundcover as required by the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
- 4. Signs shall be permitted as required by Section 19A.14.090(B). Awnings are permitted, but no signed awnings are allowed on the building.

#### **Public Works**

- 5. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Any new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to the full width and meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a.
- 6. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 135 – Z-0007-01(1)

#### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

- 7. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement ensuring the perpetual joint use of the driveway and parking lot to the south of this property prior to the issuance of permits or occupancy of this site, whichever may occur first. If such proof cannot be provided, this site shall be redesigned to provide access entirely within the limits of this property.
- 8. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-7-01 and all other site-related actions.

#### **Standard Conditions**

- 9. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
- 10. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
- 11. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets.
- 12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT							
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GE	NZER	CONSENT	X DIS	CUSSION			
SUBJECT:							
REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING	<b>5</b> - <b>Z-0008-0</b>	1 - EVANGELISTA, LI	MITED LL	ABILITY			
<b>COMPANY</b> - Request for a Rezoni	ing FROM: R	-1 (Single Family Residentia	l) TO : P-R				
(Professional Office and Parking) of 0	0.24 Acres loc	ated at 700 South Seventh S	Street (APN	: 139-34-			
410-226), PROPOSED USE: PROF	ESSIONAL C	OFFICE, Ward 5 (Weekly).	The Planni	ing			
Commission (5-0 vote) and staff record	mmend APPR	OVAL					
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFO	RE:	<b>APPROVALS RECEIV</b>	/ED BEFC	RE:			
Planning Commission Mtg.	1	Planning Commission	n Mtg.	2			
Hearing Officer Meeting		<b>Hearing Officer Meetin</b>	ng [				
City Council Meeting	0	<b>City Council Meeting</b>		0			

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

#### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report
- 4. Submitted at meeting: Photographs of the structure currently on the site

#### **MOTION:**

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and GOODMAN not voting

#### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY DONALD DREW CAMPBELL, 8624 Canyon View, stated that he is the owner of Evangelista, Limited Liability Company, and that the proposed professional office located at 700 South 7<sup>th</sup> Street, will house the law firm of Campbell and Williams.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked staff to explain why their recommendation for the proposed zoning is for approval and the variance for denial. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development, explained that this area is master planned to convert over to office. In this

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 136 – Z-0008-01

#### MINUTES – Continued:

particular case this is not a conversion, but rather a new building that is being proposed. Because the parcel is rather small, compared to the size of the building, the applicant has had to apply for two variances, one for setbacks from the Residential Adjacency Standards and the other for parking. Staff is in a difficult position in that there is really no justification that staff can support, as far as the parking variance is concerned. Other variances have been approved in this area. Staff's concern is that continuing approving such variances would create a real problem with parking in this overall downtown portion of the City. As far as the setback variance to the Residential Adjacency Standards, staff recommended approval of that. Staff's consideration in that particular case is that the entire area is planned for conversion or redevelopment to an office type product. Therefore, the existing home that is currently adjacent to this property ultimately will not be there. Staff feels that there is some reason to be able to support a Variance in that particular case.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, appeared in support of the application because the applicant knows how many tenants and employees would be at this location and what the traffic pattern would be

ATTORNEY CAMPBELL explained that the property is located in an area where the vast majority are law offices. He presented photographs showing the type of structure that is currently on the site. The site has had a troubled history, mostly of vagrants that have moved into the building itself. At the Planning Commission he had residents appear in support of the proposed project, especially because of the vagrant problem. In fact, the building was declared a public hazard because of that situation. ATTORNEY CAMPBELL presented a rendering of the proposed \$1.2 million building that would replace the existing structure. The top floor will have only four attorney offices. He grew up in this particular neighborhood and it is a tragedy as to what has happened to it. However, this building would enhance this area in conjunction with downtown being developed.

He was surprised that staff recommended against the request for the variance, especially when staff's initial recommendation was favorable and that staff supported the request for a parking variance due to the unique circumstances associated with the historic district. It would not be in keeping with the historic character of the district to pave an inordinate portion of the small lots within the area to provide parking on the site. He asked that the Council approve the Planning Commission's unanimous recommendation for approval of the Variances.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 136 – Z-0008-01

#### MINUTES – Continued:

JOHNNY VENTURA, 601 Rain Tree Lane, complimented ATTORNEY CAMPBELL for the beautiful proposed building and asked the Council to grant the variances because such a building is needed downtown.

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard North, concurred with MR. VENTURA's positive comments and added that there will be limited parking.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN whether the Council has the discretion to evaluate these variances on a case-by-case basis. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN replied that in this particular case where there is an historic district and also a redevelopment area where the City is actually encouraging development, it puts a different viewpoint on the issue. The code provision prohibits variances if they are to relieve a hardship, which is solely personal, self-created or financial in nature. Staff's view is that this is an attempt by the owner to overbuild the site. This kind of analysis on its face in a normal area other than redevelopment area would be absolutely true, but in a redevelopment area, where the City itself is trying to encourage development, it is not solely personal in nature. The City has an interest in seeing projects like this develop and has a joint interest in a Variance. Therefore, he believes that the City has discretion to evaluate on a case-by-case basis and just decide whether or not the degree of the variance is warranted and whether or not to encourage it.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked ATTORNEY CAMPBELL for presenting a project of this magnitude replacing the existing structure. He also understands staff's position regarding the number of variances issued in this area and that there should be some type of conditional control on these variances, as parking is a number one issue downtown.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that it would be beneficial to him if staff would provide the approvals that have been done in this particular area over the past few years and the status of the variances. Even though million dollar reinvestments are encouraged in this area, the City does not want to be in a position that would create parking problems in this area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 136 – Z-0008-01

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 136 [Z-0008-01], Item 137 [V-0011-01], Item 138 [V-0012-01] and Item 139 [Z-0008-01(1)] took place under Item 136 [Z-0008-01]. Also see Item 138 [V-0012-01] for additional comments.

(2:35 – 2:58) **5-2194** 

### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.

#### **Public Works**

- 2. Coordinate with the City Surveyor to determine whether a Reversionary Map to revert the underlying lot lines to acreage is necessary; if such reversionary map is required, it shall record prior to the issuance of any building permits for this site.
- 3. Construct full-width alley paving adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
- 4. Sign and record a Covenant Running with Land agreement for the possible future installation of half-street improvements (including streetlighting and possibly fire hydrants) on Garces Avenue and Seventh Street adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
- 5. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
- 6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 136 – Z-0008-01

### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

- 7. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Seventh Street and Garces Avenue adjacent to this site.
- 8. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all private improvements located in the Seventh Street and Garces Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT					
DIRECTOR:	ROBERT S. GE	NZER	CONSENT	X DIS	SCUSSION
SUBJECT:					
			IC HEARING - V-0011		
•	,		<b>IPANY</b> - Request for a V		
			RESIDENTIAL ADJACE		
-			T located at 700 South Sev		•
, ,	` ` ` `	,	, PROPOSED: P-R (Profe		
Parking), Ward 5 (\)	Weekly). The Plan	nning Commiss	sion (5-0 vote) and staff re-	commend A	PPROVAL
PROTESTS RE	CEIVED BEFO	RE:	APPROVALS RECEI	VED BEFO	ORE:
Planning Comn	nission Mtg.	1	Planning Commission	n Mtg.	2
<b>Hearing Officer</b>	Meeting		<b>Hearing Officer Meet</b>	ing	
City Council Me	eting	0	City Council Meeting		1
•	•				
RECOMMENDA	TION:				
The Planning Comr	nission (5-0 vote)	and staff recon	nmend APPROVAL, subje	ect to conditi	ons.
BACKUP DOCU	<u>JMENTATION:</u>				
1. Location Map					
	2. Conditions For This Application				
3. Staff Report					
MOTION					
MOTION:		1 114	TINIANIMACHIC MA	. D M DO	NIATE I
WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and					
GOODMAN not	voung				
MINUTES:					
MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.					
WITTOKTKO TENTINEEDE declared the Fuolic Healing open.					
ATTORNEY DONALD DREW CAMPBELL, 8624 Canyon View, was present.					
		,			
No one appeared in	n opposition.				
There was no further	er discussion				
111010 1140 110 141111	JI GIBCGBBIOII.				

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 137 – V-0011-01

### MINUTES - Continued:

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 136 [Z-0008-01], Item 137 [V-0011-01], Item 138 [V-0012-01] and Item 139 [Z-0008-01(1)] took place under Item 136 [Z-0008-01]. Also see Item 138 [V-0012-01 for additional comments].

(2:35 – 2:58) **5-2194** 

### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval by City Council, then this Variance shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ROBERT S. GENZER CONSENT** Χ DIRECTOR: DISCUSSION SUBJECT: VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0008-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0012-01 -**EVANGELISTA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 11 PARKING SPACES WHERE 22 ARE REQUIRED located at 700 South Seventh Street (APN: 139-34-410-226), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, PROPOSED: P-R (Professional Office and Parking), Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE: **Planning Commission Mtg.** 1 **Planning Commission Mtg.** 2 **Hearing Officer Meeting Hearing Officer Meeting City Council Meeting** 1 **City Council Meeting** 1

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and an added condition that the variance shall be reviewed in two years – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and GOODMAN not voting

### MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY DONALD DREW CAMPBELL, 8624 Canyon View, was present.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that a condition be added. ATTORNEY CAMPBELL stated that staff brought to his attention the added condition, which states that he should keep track, not only of the number of employees that he has at any given time, but also the number of clients he sees on a daily basis.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 138 – V-0012-01

### MINUTES – Continued:

Apparently, something of this nature was done with respect to the Nitz Law Office building. However, he pointed out that Mr. Nitz' law office is enormous and has 22 offices. It is a rental building; whereas, the proposed law office will have a very small staff in order that he can run his office efficiently and cost effectively.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that staff is trying to look out for the City's best interest and that the condition basically states that the variance should be reviewed in two years at which time off-site shared parking may be required. A minimum of 30 days prior to the review hearing the applicant shall provide staff with a written report on the number of employees within the building and the average number of clients for which parking is needed. ATTORNEY CAMPBELL asked what would be required of him in two years, because he does not want to be faced with a problem after having made such an investment.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, discussed with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that staff would not have any objections to dropping the second sentence of the added condition and merely requiring a review in two years. At that time staff would be able to report to the Council whether or not there have been any complaints or problems with this particular building and the parking variance that might be approved.

MR. GENZER clarified that the comment made by ATTORNEY CAMPBELL about staff possibly having changed their position on this particular item was actually something that occurred at the Historic Preservation Commission meeting and then relayed to ATTORNEY CAMPBELL by a City Planner who sits on that particular commission. Therefore, staff's recommendation before the City Council was not formulated at that particular time.

ATTORNEY CAMPBELL commented that he would rather not have the added condition. He is making a tremendous investment and the suggestion that he might have problems in two years concerns him. However, he is willing to work with the City.

COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that if the type of investment ATTORNEY CAMPBELL is proposing is contagious and draws additional investment downtown, in two years he might be in front of this Council protesting a variance to one of his investing neighbors. It is reasonable that in two years the applicant comes back and reports how the neighborhood is doing. ATTORNEY CAMPBELL expressed his appreciation for COUNCILMAN BROWN's comments and concurred with the added condition and thanked both Planning staff and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY's staff for working with him.

Agenda Item No. 139

Agenda Item No. 138

# City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 138 – V-0012-01

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that when the application was recommended for denial he asked staff that they work with the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 136 [Z-0008-01], Item 137 [V-0011-01], Item 138 [V-0012-01] and Item 139 [Z-0008-01(1)] took place under Item 136 [Z-0008-01]. Also see Item 138 [V-0012-01] for additional comments.

(2:35 – 2:58) **5-2194** 

### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
- 2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments which are not affected by approval of this Variance must be satisfied.



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GE	_	CONSENT	X DIS	SCUSSION
SUBJECT: SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0008-01, V-0011-01 AND V-0012-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0008-01(1) - EVANGELISTA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 6,555 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING located at 700 South Seventh Street (APN: 139-34-410-226), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone PROPOSED: P-R (Professional Office and Parking), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL				
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFO		APPROVALS RECEIVE		
Planning Commission Mtg.	1	Planning Commissio	_	2
Hearing Officer Meeting	0	Hearing Officer Meeting	_	0
City Council Meeting	U	City Council Meeting	ļ	U
RECOMMENDATION: The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.  BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:  1. Location Map 2. Conditions For This Application 3. Staff Report				
MOTION: WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and GOODMAN not voting				
MINUTES: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.				
ATTORNEY DONALD DREW CA	MPBELL, 86	24 Canyon View, was pres	sent.	
No one appeared in opposition.				
There was no further discussion.				

Agenda Item No. 139

# City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 139 – Z-0008-01(1)

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 136 [Z-0008-01], Item 137 [V-0011-01], Item 138 [V-0012-01] and Item 139 [Z-0008-01(1)] took place under Item 136 [Z-0008-01]. Also see Item 138 [V-0012-01 for additional comments].

(2:35 – 2:58) **5-2194** 

### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. This Site Development Plan Review shall be void if either/both of the companion Variance applications are denied and a new Site Development Plan Review shall be submitted.
- 2. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised in two (2) years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
- 3. A revised site plan shall be submitted depicting handicap accessible parking that is in compliance with the requirements of Section 19A.10.010(G) of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
- 4. The applicant shall construct a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials along the alleyway.

#### **Public Works**

- 5. The proposed wrought iron gates shall remain opened during normal business hours of operation.
- 6. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
- 7. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0008-01 and all other site-related actions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 139 – Z-0008-01(1)

### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

**Standard Conditions** 

- 8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in view from the abutting streets.
- 9. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060 (C).
- 10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.
- 11. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
- 12. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT				
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GE	NZER	CONSENT	X DIS	CUSSION
SUBJECT:				
REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - <b>Z-0010-01 - CORNERSTONE COMPANY</b> - Request				
for a Rezoning FROM: R-4 (High Density Residential) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) of 0.25 Acres				
on the northwest corner of Fourth Street and Colorado Avenue (APN: 162-03-110-011),				
PROPOSED USE: OFFICE BUILDING, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote)				
and staff recommend APPROVAL				
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:			DRE:	
Planning Commission Mtg.	0	Planning Commission	on Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting		<b>Hearing Officer Meet</b>	ing	
City Council Meeting	0	City Council Meeting	)	0

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and GOODMAN not voting

NOTE: ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, disclosed that he has not been involved in the recommendation process of Item 140 [Z-0010-01] and Item 141 Z-0010-01(1) as property owned by his in-laws is within the notification radius.

### MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DENNIS WATTS, 201 Las Vegas Boulevard South, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

Agenda Item No. 140

# City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 140 – Z-0010-01

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 140 [Z-0010-01] and Item 141 [Z-0010-01(1) was held under Item 140 [Z-0010-(01)].

(2:58 – 3:00) **5-3351** 

### **CONDITIONS:**

Public Works

- 1. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
- 2. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 140 – Z-0010-01

## **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

3. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for VAC-49-99 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

### **Standard Conditions**

- 4. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
- 5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.



# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT				
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GE	NZER	CONSENT	X DIS	CUSSION
SUBJECT:				
SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REV	IEW RELAT	ED TO Z-0010-01 - PUI	BLIC HEAR	ING - Z-
<b>0010-01(1) - CORNERSTONE C</b>	COMPANY -	Request for a Site Develo	pment Plan I	Review
FOR A PROPOSED 1,746 SQUARE FOOT TEMPORARY OFFICE BUILDING on the northwest				
corner of Fourth Street and Colorado	Avenue (API	N: 162-03-110-011), R-4	(High Density	ÿ
Residential) Zone, PROPOSED: C-1	(Limited Con	nmercial), Ward 3 (Reese).	The Planning	g
Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff re	commend AP	PROVAL		
PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:		APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:		
Planning Commission Mtg.	0	Planning Commission	n Mtg.	0
<b>Hearing Officer Meeting</b>		Hearing Officer Meet	ing	
City Council Meeting	0	<b>City Council Meeting</b>		0

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

### **BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Conditions For This Application
- 3. Staff Report

#### **MOTION**:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and GOODMAN not voting

NOTE: ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, disclosed that he has not been involved in the recommendation process of Item 140 [Z-0010-01] and Item 141 Z-0010-01(1) as property owned by his in-laws is within the notification radius.

#### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DENNIS WATTS, 201 Las Vegas Boulevard South, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

Agenda Item No. 141

# City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 141 – Z-0010-01(1)

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 140 [Z-0010-01] and Item 141 [Z-0010-01(1) was held under Item 140 [Z-0010-(01)].

(2:58 – 3:00) **5-3351** 

### **CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

- 1. The modular building shall be removed within two years of issuance of the certificate of occupancy.
- 2. The applicant shall submit a Site Development Plan Review for Planning Commission review prior to construction of any permanent structure.

#### **Public Works**

- 3. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
- 4. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for VAC-49-99, Z-10-01 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

#### **Standard Conditions**

- 5. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
- 6. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Planning & Development Department Item 141 – Z-0010-01(1)

### **CONDITIONS – Continued:**

- 7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
- 8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
- 9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).
- 10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
- 11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
- 12. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
- 13. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade.
- 14. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.



## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE TY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 200

	CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF:	APRIL 18, 2001	
DEPARTMENT	: CITY CLERK		
DIRECTOR:	BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS	CONSENT	DISCUSSIO N
SUBJECT:			
SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE			
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION AND HEARINGS OFFICER MEETINGS AND			

DB 1917 S. 17<sup>th</sup> Street; SD-0003-01, SNC-0001-01, U-0271-93(2), U-0138-99(1), U-0027-01, U-0028-01, U-0029-01, U-0030-01, U-0031-01, U-0032-01, U-0033-01, U-0034-01, V-0002-01, V-0006-01, V-0015-01, V-0017-01 – 5/2/2001 Agenda

DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

# AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

	0111 00011012 III.EE 11110 01 : 711 1112 10; 2001
DEP	ARTMENT: CITY CLERK
DIRE	CTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS CONSENT DISCUSSIO
SUB	JECT:
ADDE	ENDUM:
<u>FIRE</u>	& RESCUE - CONSENT
50A	Approval of (2) Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) grant application submittals through the United States Fire Administration (USFA) (\$565,000 total
	request with a \$210,000 City match to be funded through the Fire Capital Improvement
	Projects and General Fund)

**SEE PAGE 50A** 

## AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 18, 2001

#### CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD explained that he, MAYOR GOODMAN and other Council members have been speaking via telephone to Assembly members in Carson City, as well as some State Senators regarding certain legislature bills that would affect the City of Las Vegas. In fact, MAYOR GOODMAN drafted a letter addressing the Council's concerns regarding those legislature bills discussed in the morning session.

(3:00 – 3:01) **5-3491** 

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard North, asked what is being done about the older neighborhoods. Most of the big cities throughout the nation face the same problems of neglect in the inner cities. Many times these older neighborhoods are condemned and high rises are built in their place. There are some older areas of downtown that have no sidewalks and the streets have never been repaired. These residents are also taxpayers and the sidewalks and street should be repaired.

MR. HODGES congratulated the Council members for being re-elected and congratulated COUNCILMAN McDONALD for resolving his problems with the Ethics Board Commission.

(3:01- 3:04) **5-3563** 

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, addressed two issues. He stated that he is still having problems with Silver State and asked for CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC's assistance again. Secondly, he discussed out-of-state non-profit organizations and referred to the Boy Scouts of America. Twenty-five years ago they were given a cabin by a lake and made all the necessary improvements. When the National Council in New Jersey found out about it, they presented a clause to a judge stating that local troops are not allowed to own property, and therefore ceased the property. If this can happen to the Boy Scouts it could also happen to FATHER JOE, MASH Village or any other non-profit organization. He cautioned the Council that there might be consequences if the City turns over the deed and surrenders the property.

(3:04 - 3:06)

6-64

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001

### CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

DOROTHY BARNES, 2575 Sherwood Avenue, Apt. 26A, stated that she contacted COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS regarding racism and that her rights are constantly being threatened. She stated that America does not have any rights to go into another country and jeopardize their rights. Police officers do not want to take responsibility for her safety. She wants SHERIFF KELLER to question his police officers. She also contacted Internal Affairs. She has been faced with sexual harassment.

(3:06 – 3:09) **6-125** 

JOHNNY VENTURA, 601 Rain Tree Lane, commended COUNCILMAN BROWN for giving up his full-time job in order to dedicate full-time to his constituents. He congratulated COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK for being reelected. He congratulated COUNCILMAN McDONALD for being exonerated from the Ethics Review Board complaint. Many people do not run for public office because they cannot do favors for friends.

He stated that he will be publishing a letter to the editor type of newspaper and that he registered its name; the Clark County Chronicle. The newspaper will encompass the City and County. He also commended DAVID RIGGLEMAN, Director, Public Information Office, for the programming on Channel 2.

(3:09 – 3:11) **6-199** 

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 3:11 P.M.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Notes and Directives

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD urged the City Manager and the lobbying team to educate the people at the Legislature to try to help them see the obligations that the City of Las Vegas faces when it comes to providing services and the impact that taking monies away from the City, as suggested under the Motor Vehicle Privilege Tax Bill, would have on the City in meeting those obligations. (See Item 83)

MAYOR GOODMAN directed the City Manager staff to draft an information letter to send to the public regarding legislative issues that would greatly impact the City of Las Vegas. COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested that it be kept simple for better comprehension. (See Item 83)

(10:53 – 11:17) **2-2900/3-1** 

MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to speak with the individual Council members to evaluate whether they want to continue with the City Ethics Board and low to make it more effective, or whether it should be disbanded and forward all ethics matters to the State Ethics Commission for review. (See Item 96)

(11:49 – 11:53) **3-1438** 

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested the following: 1) transcript of the public record of five years ago when the contract was originally entered into; 2) history of the new board and why it was established; 3) whether the \$2 million capital investment at M.A.S.H. is permanent or temporary; and 4) clarification from the City or County regarding any possible policy statement from grant foundations as it relates to their requirement of ownership of land versus a long-term lease when awarding grants. (See Item 99)

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and MAYOR GOODMAN requested that they be included in any of the meetings with M.A.S.H. on this issue. (See Item 99)

(11:57 – 12:48) **3-1744/4-1** 

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reiterated that instead of an eight-foot block wall, there would be a six-foot block wall with two feet of wrought iron. He directed that staff work closely with the adjacent property owner, Penske, to remove the razor wire and make their wall more aesthetically pleasing. Razor wire on walls will not resolve the drug issues, but working together with the adjacent business owners and the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department will. (See Item 124 [V-0003-01])

(2:13-2:17)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 18, 2001 Notes and Directives

COUNCILMAN REESE directed the applicant to continue working with staff on landscaping. See Item 135 [Z-0007-01(1).

(2:31 – 2:35) **5-2024** 

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that it would be beneficial to him if staff would provide the approvals that have been done in this particular area over the past few years and the status of the variances. Even though million dollar reinvestments are encouraged in this area, the City does not want to be in a position that would create parking problems in this area. (See Item 136 [Z-0008-01])

(2:35-2:58)

5-2194